MCC2PLA1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 In re: 4 PLATINUM-BEECHWOOD LITIGATION 18 Civ. 06658 (JSR) 5 MARTIN TROTT and CHRISTOPHER 18 Civ. 10936 (JSR) 6 SMITH, as Joint Official 7 Liquidators and Foreign Representatives of PLATINUM 8 PARTNERS VALUE ARBITRAGE FUND LP (in Official Liquidation) and 9 PLATINUM PARTNERS VALUE ARBITRAGE FUND LP (in Official Liquidation) 10 Plaintiffs, 11 V. 12 PLATINUM MANAGEMENT (NY) LLC, 13 et al., 14 Defendants. -----x Trial 15 16 17 New York, N.Y. December 12, 2022 18 10:25 a.m. 19 20 Before: 21 HON. JED S. RAKOFF, 22 District Judge and a Jury 23 24 25

MCC2PLA1

1	APPEARANCES
2	HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP
3	Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: WARREN E. GLUCK MARTIN L. SEIDEL
4	RICHARD A. BIXTER JR. QIAN (SHEILA) SHEN
5	NOAH W.S. PARSON ELLIOT A. MAGRUDER
6	
7 8	KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Bodner BY: ELIOT LAUER GABRIEL HERTZBERG
9	JULIA B. MOSSE
10 11	CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Bodner
12	Y: NATHANIEL C. AMENT-STONE ALLESANDRA TYLER
13	
14	
15	Also Present:
16	Michael Robson, Paradocs Motion Support
17	Esterah Brown, Paralegal, Curtis Mallet
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

MCC2PLA1 (Trial resumed; jury not present) THE COURT: Let's get Mr. Quintero in. MR. LAUER: Change of plan. We will continue with Mr. Bodner because he has a grandson's bris tomorrow and he may be delayed. THE COURT: Very good. Thank you for your papers. I will give you my rulings at the first break. Mr. Bodner, come on up. My recollection is you have got about two hours more. (Continued on next page)

Mcc2Pla1 Bodner - Direct

1 (Jury present)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for your promptness. I am sorry for the delay this morning. I had a medical appointment and you will be very surprised to hear that my doctor was running a half hour late. That never happens.

But, in any event, we are still on schedule and we are going to continue with Mr. Bodner's testimony and then we will come back to Mr. Ouintero after that.

Go ahead.

- DAVID BODNER, previously affirmed, resumed.
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. LAUER:
- 15 Q. Good morning, Mr. Bodner.
- 16 | A. Good morning.
- Q. When we left off, you were about to discuss the move of PPVA.
- Did there come a time when Mr. Nordlicht and the PPVA side of the Platinum Management business moved downstairs in the building to the 4th floor?
- 22 A. There came a time.
- 23 | Q. Do you recall approximately when that was?
- 24 A. I think it was in approximately 2007.
- 25 | Q. And what was left on the 54th floor?

- A. What was left on the 54th floor were the employees of Centurion.
- 3 Q. Who headed Centurion?
 - A. Murray Huberfeld.
- 5 Q. And after Murray Huberfeld stepped down from running
- 6 Centurion, who were the Centurion people on the 54th floor?
- 7 A. Who was on the 54th floor after Murray stepped down from
- 8 | running Centurion? I think it was basically the same people.
- 9 Q. Okay. Was Gilad Kalter there?
- 10 | A. Yes.

- 11 | Q. Do you remember what his role was?
- 12 A. It was -- he had some sort of a role in the credit fund in
- 13 | Centurion.
- 14 | Q. Brian Jedwab?
- 15 A. Same. He was a portfolio manager to my recollection.
- 16 Q. And who told you that PPVA was moving to the 4th floor?
- 17 | A. I was told by Mark Nordlicht and by Ari Glass.
- 18 | Q. And what did they tell you?
- 19 A. They told me that they didn't -- they wanted to
- 20 | institutionalize PPVA and in order to institutionalize it they
- 21 | wanted to be on their own floor because there were a lot of
- 22 | rabbis coming up to this floor and it was more -- it didn't
- 23 | look that professional. And they felt that being downstairs
- 24 alone, without all these charities and everything coming up to
- 25 | the office, would be more beneficial.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

Bodner - Direct

- Q. After they moved downstairs, did you have a conversation with Ari Glass about the 4th floor?
 - A. Yes. Ari Glass came over to me and he says that he doesn't want me to come down at all because, as he quoted it, wearing my yalmulke and my white shirt, my suit, I looked too Jewish, and the institutions are not going to want to put money into the fund. So before I go down to the 4th floor, I should call up and make sure that there is no investors there at that time
 - THE COURT: Are you Jewish? I never would have guessed.

if I have a reason to go down to the 4th floor.

- 12 Go ahead, counsel.
- 13 BY MR. LAUER:
 - Q. Who was Ari Glass?
 - A. Ari Glass was a partner that was brought in to Platinum

 Management to raise money from institutions and from other high

 net worth investors.
 - Q. Did you speak with Mark Nordlicht about what Ari Glass had told you about coming down to the 4th floor?
- 20 A. I recall that it bothered me and I must have spoken to him about it.
- 22 | Q. Now we have heard about a gentleman named Uri Landesman.
- 23 Who was Uri Landesman?
- A. Uri Landesman, there was a fallout between Mark Nordlicht and Ari Glass, and at that time Mark was looking for somebody

- 1 | to replace Ari Glass, so he brought in Uri Landesman.
- 2 | O. And what did Landesman do at PPVA?
- 3 A. Landesman became president of PPVA, and he was also in the
- 4 business of raising institutional money.
- 5 Q. We have also heard the name David Levy. Who was David
- 6 Levy?
- 7 A. David Levy was a portfolio manager and later he became very
- 8 close to Mark Nordlicht. He was doing everything together with
- 9 Mark.
- 10 | Q. How did Levy come to Platinum?
- 11 A. I think he was recommended by Murray Huberfeld, who was his
- 12 uncle.
- 13 Q. Bernie Fuchs, what was his role at PPVA?
- 14 A. If I remember correctly, Bernie Fuchs was an investor.
- 15 | Q. Did he have a role at PPVA either as an investor or later
- 16 | as a partner?
- 17 A. Later he became a partner.
- 18 Q. And what did he do for PPVA?
- 19 A. He raised money.
- 20 Q. Did you have any discussion with Bernie when he became a
- 21 partner?
- 22 | A. Yes, when --
- 23 | O. What was that discussion?
- 24 A. The discussion was when Mark Nordlicht made him a partner,
- 25 he came into my office very happy and he told me that he's

Bodner - Direct

becoming a partner in the fund, and he was smiling. He was very happy.

So I mentioned to Bernie that I think it's only fair, because that's the way I used to do it, together with Huberfeld, we would wait to take out our withdrawals. I'm not talking about incentive fees. That was for sure something that came last. But even our own withdrawals, I used to take out profits at the end of every year to support my family, to give charity, to pay taxes, but I was always last. I waited for investors to take out money first. And I told Bernie I think that's the right way a partner should do it.

It upsetted him because he felt that he is not going to be able to get his redemption right away, and that was the discussion.

- Q. Did you make Bernie Fuchs a partner?
- 16 | A. No.
 - Q. Did you have a discussion with Bernie or were you told by someone that he had a discussion with Bernie in terms of what was expected of Bernie in making him a partner?
 - A. If I remember correctly, I discussed with Murray Huberfeld why they made Bernie a partner, himself and Mr. Nordlicht, and he told me that Bernie committed that he would raise \$125 million for the fund.
 - Q. At any time did anyone working in Platinum Management, other than perhaps your shared secretary, report to you?

Mcc2Pla1

al Bodner - Direct

1 A. No.

- 2 | Q. Did you supervise anyone at Platinum Management?
- 3 | A. I did not supervise anybody at Platinum Management.
- 4 | Q. Did you participate in valuation committee meetings?
 - A. I never participated in any meetings of evaluations.
- 6 Q. Did you get draft valuation documents?
- 7 A. I have never received any draft evaluation documents.
- 8 Q. Did you know that Platinum had a risk committee?
- 9 A. I knew that there was some sort of a committee there
- 10 | that -- when you say Platinum, I knew that Murray Huberfeld,
- 11 when he ran Centurion, he had a small committee that, you know,
- 12 | looked at the loans and saw if they were risky or not, and PPVA
- 13 | I did not have any knowledge of any committees.
- 14 | Q. I take it you did not participate in PPVA risk committee
- 15 | meetings?
- 16 A. In PPVA risk committee meetings I never participated.
- 17 | Q. Did any PPVA trader report to you daily, weekly, or monthly
- 18 positions?
- 19 A. No trader ever reported to me.
- 20 | Q. Did you review PPVA trading positions?
- 21 | A. Never.
- 22 | Q. Did you get e-mails or memos with operating data for the
- 23 | oil and gas investments?
- 24 A. I never got any e-mails on data for operating, for the
- 25 oils.

- Q. Did you get documents or e-mails with respect to the number of wells that were being drilled?
- A. I don't recall getting any documents on anything on the oil industry.
 - Q. How about the reserves, the number of barrels in the ground?
- 7 A. No.

- Q. The oil and gas actually produced by these development
 sites?
- 10 A. I don't recall getting anything on any oil and gas.
- 11 | Q. Any details of production issues?
- 12 A. I don't recall getting any details of any production issues.
- 14 Q. What about for the other operating companies that
- 15 | Platinum -- that PPVA had an interest in? Did you get
- 16 operating data, financial data for those investments?
- 17 A. No, not to my recollection.
- 18 Q. Did you get any data that would have enabled you at any
- 19 | time between 2012 and 2016 to determine the accuracy of the
- 20 | valuations that were assigned to the assets owned by PPVA?
- 21 | A. No, I did not get any data.
- 22 Q. Now, we heard of an investment called China Horizon.
- Briefly, tell us your recollection of the development of this
- 24 investment and exactly what it was.
- 25 A. China Horizon was brought to the fund by a gentleman by the

Mcc2Pla1

- 1 name of Alan Clingman. He came to me and he explained to me 2 what he was doing. In the rural areas in China there were 3 billions of people living, and the only way they were able to 4 buy basic needed stuff—toothbrushes, toothpaste, 5 mouthwash—was by going to a gas station. He decided that he 6 wants to make these gas stations, turn them into 7-Elevens, 7 that all these places should have 7-Elevens all over the place in all these rural areas and he felt that it would be 8 9 profitable from the profit that he would be making on the 10 products and also by enticing American companies by sending 11 these products to his stores in China. 12 Did you personally invest in China Horizon? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Did Mr. Huberfeld personally invest? 15 Α. Yes.
- About how much did the two of you invest? 16 0.
- 17 If I recall correctly, together about a million dollars.
- 18 In addition to your personal investment, did PPVA invest in
- China Horizon? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Who from PPVA took a lead role in monitoring the China
- 22 Horizon investment?
- 23 Α. Bernie Fuchs.
- 24 Do you know how it came about that Bernie Fuchs was the one
- 25 monitoring China Horizon?

- A. Bernie Fuchs used to travel to China for his electronic
 business, so he knew the Chinese people very well. He knew
 where to go, what to do, and everything. So the fund felt
 comfortable, Mark Nordlicht, sending him, and he should be
 part, he should be on the board of China Horizon, and he should
 - Q. And did Mr. Fuchs report to you and the others at PPVA?
 - A. Mr. Fuchs, when I asked him how it was doing—I had my own personal investment—he told me how he felt it was doing.
 - Q. And how did he feel it was doing?

report back what he sees over there.

- 11 A. It was very bullish.
- 12 Q. Did you have a detailed financial information on the China
- 13 | Horizon investment?
- 14 A. I don't remember having detailed financial information. I
- 15 knew that they needed money and they were soliciting people for
- 16 money.

6

7

8

9

- Q. Did the come to learn at a certain point that the Chinese
- government reneged on its agreement?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And do you recall what happened after that?
- 21 A. I recall that Alan Clingman was talking about suing the
- 22 government and he felt that he would be able to get the
- 23 business back going somehow.
- 24 | Q. We heard some testimony concerning a meeting that took
- 25 | place with you and some of the others on China Horizon.

- 1 Mr. Katz testified to this. Do you recall the meeting?
- 2 | A. No, I don't.
- 3 | Q. What happened actually to Mr. Clingman's lawsuit?
- 4 A. If I'm not mistaken, I think he won \$26 million from the
- 5 government, Chinese government.
- 6 0. That was for his interest?
- 7 A. I don't know whose interest it was for.
- 8 Q. Over the five years that we are focusing on in this
- 9 courtroom, in addition to China Horizon, can you think of any
- 10 other PPVA operating company investment where you had some more
- 11 | direct connection.
- 12 A. PPVA had an investment in company call Agera.
- 13 | Q. Briefly what was the business of Agera?
- 14 A. Agera was selling energy.
- 15 | Q. And did you come to spend some time at the Agera offices?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | Q. Can you tell us what caused you to spend time at the Agera
- 18 offices?
- 19 | A. I had a son who for seven and a half years had OCD,
- 20 | obsessive-compulsive disorder, and I was trying to get him out
- 21 of it by putting him into business. And it helped him a lot by
- 22 going out and doing deals, finding deals, having his own
- 23 | office. So I spoke to the person at Agera, if it was possible
- 24 | to make him a salesman, to sell the energy, to bring customers
- 25 | to Agera.

- 1 | Q. Who did you speak with?
- 2 A. Kevin Cassidy.
- 3 | Q. Did he agree to bring your son on as a salesman?
- 4 A. After I told him the situation, not only did he agree to
- 5 bring him on, he gave him an office there also.
- 6 Q. Other than -- did you have any detailed financial
- 7 | information about Agera?
- 8 | A. No, I did not.
- 9 | Q. Did you have a role in managing the Agera business?
- 10 A. No role whatsoever.
- 11 | Q. Did there come a time when PPVA lent money to Agera?
- 12 | A. I know that PPVA owned Agera to some degree. I don't know
- 13 | how, if they lent money or how they got ownership in Agera.
- 14 | Q. Did there come a time when you participated in one or more
- 15 | loans to Agera?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Can you tell us about that.
- 18 A. David Levy formed something, I forgot the name, and it was
- 19 | lending money to Agera, and it was paying an interest rate
- 20 monthly.
- 21 \parallel Q. Did you ever speak to the auditors who audited the
- 22 | financial statement of PPVA?
- 23 A. Never spoke to any auditors.
- 24 | Q. Did you speak with any of the valuators who issued the
- 25 | valuation reports on the PPVA assets?

Mcc2Pla1

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- 2 | Q. Now, every month you received an NAV report, right?
- 3 A. At the end of the month I would call a gentleman by the
- 4 | name of Michael Kimelman, and I would ask him basically if he
- 5 could tell me how much the fund was up and how my different
- 6 entities, what they were worth at that time and how much money
- 7 was in the fund.
- 8 | Q. When you talk about individual entities, do you mean each
- 9 of the family accounts that you had at PPVA?
- 10 | A. That's what I mean.
- 11 | Q. And is the information that Kimelman gave you, whether the
- 12 | fund was up or what percentage it was up, is that any different
- 13 | than the information that all the outside investors were
- 14 getting?
- 15 | A. No, it's not.
- 16 \parallel Q. Did you have any role in developing the numbers that became
- 17 | the NAV statement?
- 18 A. I'm not understanding the question.
- 19 | Q. Did you have any role in participating in figuring out what
- 20 | the net asset value would be each month?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 | Q. You mentioned that you would call Kimelman. Did outside
- 23 | investors also call Kimelman?
- 24 A. Some outside investors called him.
- 25 | Q. All right. And did outside investors have the opportunity

- 1 | to call other members of the Platinum executive group?
- 2 A. Yes, I think they did.
- 3 | Q. All right. Do you know anyone who followed up more
- 4 | directly?
- 5 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 6 A. I think --
- 7 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 MR. LAUER: All right.
- 9 BY MR. LAUER:
- 10 | Q. We have heard -- I'm going to ask you some list of names
- 11 and tell us briefly what they did.
- 12 | Naftali Manela.
- 13 A. Naftali Manela I think was the CFO of Centurion Partners.
- 14 Q. Okay. Joseph SanFilippo.
- 15 A. Was the CFO of PPVA.
- 16 | Q. Did you supervise SanFilippo?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- 18 | Q. Did he take instruction from you?
- 19 | A. No, he didn't.
- 20 | Q. Mr. Steinberg, who was here on Friday.
- 21 A. Mr. Steinberg was a portfolio manager and later he became a
- 22 | risk manager.
- 23 | Q. Did you direct him?
- 24 A. No, I did not direct him.
- 25 Q. David Ottensoser. Who was he?

- 1 A. If I'm not mistaken, he was an in-house lawyer for
- 2 | Platinum.
- 3 | Q. Did you supervise him?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 | Q. Suzanne Horowitz.
- 6 A. I think at the later date Suzanne Horowitz became an
- 7 | in-house attorney.
- 8 | Q. Did you have any interaction with her?
- 9 A. I don't remember offhand having any interaction with her.
- 10 | Q. Eli Rakower, do you know that name?
- 11 A. It sounds familiar.
- 12 | Q. Did you have any dealings with him?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Mr. Jed Latkin, who was here a few days ago, do you
- 15 remember what he did?
- 16 | A. No, I don't.
- 17 | Q. Seth Gerszberg, did you supervise him?
- 18 A. No, I didn't.
- 19 | Q. Kerry Propper. Who was Kerry Propper?
- 20 A. Kerry Propper was a friend of David Levy and he was brought
- 21 on to become a partner in the fund and maybe ultimately take
- 22 | over the reins from Mark Nordlicht.
- 23 | Q. What interaction, if any, did you have with Kerry Propper?
- 24 A. I was introduced to him being an owner of Platinum
- 25 | Management.

Mcc2Pla1

- 1 Q. Did you hire him?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Danny Saks, who was Danny Saks?
- 4 A. Danny Saks was also a gentleman that was supposed to come
- 5 | in and take over managing the fund.
- 6 Q. Did you hire Danny Saks?
- 7 A. No, I did not.
- 8 Q. Now, from time to time, did the partners in Platinum
- 9 | Management get together for meetings?
- 10 A. From time to time.
- 11 Q. To the best of your recollection, during the period, say,
- 12 | 2012 through 2016, how often did the partners get together?
- 13 A. Not very often.
- 14 | Q. Do you recall -- can you put a number on it?
- 15 A. I would say maybe three or four times a year we had dinner
- 16 | together.
- 17 | Q. And during this period of time, '12, '13, '14, '15, the
- 18 | beginning of '16, who typically would attend these dinner
- 19 | meetings?
- 20 | A. Well, in the beginning, it was attended by Uri Landesman,
- 21 | myself, Murray Huberfeld, and Mark Nordlicht.
- 22 | Q. And after the beginning?
- 23 | A. After Bernie Fuchs became a partner, he started to attend
- 24 the meetings.
- 25 | Q. During the period 2012 to 2016, how often did you come to

Mcc2Pla1

- 1 | the office?
- 2 A. I came to the office usually two or three times a week and
- 3 usually for about three hours.
- 4 | Q. And are you -- how is it that you came to the office for
- 5 | three hours?
- 6 A. First of all, I live in Monsey, New York. Just traveling
- 7 | to get to the office could take two, two and a half hours, so I
- 8 | used to not leave my house before 10:30, 10, 10:30, or 11:00,
- 9 because there was no traffic then.
- 10 | Q. And when would you leave?
- 11 A. I usually left anywhere between 2:30 and 4:00.
- 12 | Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Also on the way home there was traffic, and I wanted to
- 14 avoid traffic.
- 15 \parallel Q. We heard testimony that there was a time or for a while, a
- 16 | long while, that you shared an office with Murray Huberfeld.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Did there come a time when you moved off of the 54th floor
- 19 to a different office building?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you recall approximately when that was?
- 22 | A. I think in 2014 Platinum moved out of 57th Street to 55th
- 23 || Street.
- 24 | Q. And did you move to 55th Street?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Did Mr. Huberfeld move to 55th Street?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. So during the -- I guess the last two years of this time
- 4 period, you did not share an office with Mr. Huberfeld.
- 5 A. I did not share an office with him.
- 6 Q. Did your -- did you continue to have the level of contact
- 7 | with Mr. Huberfeld that you previously had?
- 8 A. Much less.
- 9 Q. Did there come a time when liquidity became a central
- 10 concern of PPAV?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. To the best of your recollection, can you tell us how that
- 13 came about?
- 14 A. When you say concern, what do you mean by that?
- 15 | Q. Was this a topic of discussion?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | O. All right. Tell us what the discussion was.
- 18 A. The discussion was that Mark needed money -- Mark Nordlicht
- 19 | was not a person who used to calculate that January 1 I'm going
- 20 | to need for February 1 a certain amount of withdrawals, so I
- 21 | have to put that money on the side. He didn't exactly
- 22 | calculate to the point how much he is going to need. He was a
- 23 very optimistic person, and it came a time when it just hit him
- 24 | in the face that he needs a certain amount of liquidity to give
- 25 back the withdrawals and money for the companies.

Mcc2Pla1 Bodner - Direct

So at every dinner that we had, that was the top topic. Where are we going to get the liquidity from? How are we going to get the liquidity? Which investors are we going to for the liquidity.

(Continued on next page)

- BY MR. LAUER: 1
- Did you also have discussions with Mark Nordlicht at these 2
- 3 meetings or otherwise in terms of how much cash he had
- available set aside that was not invested? 4
- 5 A. I don't remember having that discussion, but he always
- 6 needed money. So I understood that there was no money set
- 7 aside.
- 8 Q. Did you have an understanding as to Mark's approach to
- 9 using cash in investing?
- 10 Α. Not really.
- 11 By the way, after Mr. Huberfeld was arrested, did you
- 12 continue to have conversations with him?
- 13 I went to visit him. Α.
- 14 At some point in time, did the partners stop taking the
- incentive fees? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Was that your decision? Ο.
- 18 Nothing to do with me. Α.
- Can you tell us how that came about? 19 Q.
- 20 Mark Nordlicht decided that for the year 2014, we're not
- going to pay out incentive fees, instead we're going to accrue 21
- 22 them. That means we're going to still own them, it's going to
- 23 be ours, but we're not going to take them out in cash.
- 24 Did Mark explain why he was doing that?
- 25 He had no money to give us. Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Bodner - Direct

- Q. Tell us the general format of these partner dinners, who said what and what information was exchanged?
 - A. It wasn't really an official meeting, it was more of a dinner. We had dinner together, we used to speak about everything that's going on in the Jewish world and in the world in general. Then, for a few minutes, Mark would bring a sheet of paper and on the sheet of paper, he would show the fund's positions, and he would talk about how much money he needs and when he needs it and how he's pressed that he must have this money by this and this time to support the companies and also
- 12 | Q. Why was Mark telling the partners this?

to support the withdrawals.

- 13 A. To tell the partners to go out and raise money.
- 14 | Q. Did you go out and raise money for the fund?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 0. Did there come a time when in or about the end of 2014 or
- 17 | the first month or two of 2015, when the partners met and
- 18 Mr. Fuchs now attended?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you have a recollection of that dinner?
- 21 | A. Yes, I do have a recollection of it.
- Q. So, to the best of your recollection, tell us what you
- 23 remember about the dinner.
- 24 A. I remember that Mark was under a lot of pressure. He
- 25 needed over \$100 million very fast to meet redemptions and for

5

6

7

24

25

- his companies. He said that if we don't raise it, the fund's going to have to shut down, put up the gate or something's going to happen.
 - Q. Did he go through the assets?
 - A. I don't remember if he went through the assets. I think it was more withdrawals that he was concerned about. That was most of the money what was needed for.
- Q. Do you recall generally or approximately how much money was going out above how much was coming in?
- 10 A. I think he was usually short \$25 million, 25 to 50 million, 11 that's what he was asking for.
- 12 | Q. Did you say anything at that dinner meeting?
- 13 I told Mark that I think an asset, being a trader, Α. Yes. 14 and when you trade something, you buy and sell something, you 15 get cash right away. If you buy a stock and you sell it, the cash comes out right away. If you buy an illiquid asset, I 16 17 told him that I think he should mark the asset when it's sold. When that happens, when the asset is sold, that's the right 18 19 time to mark it because you're causing everybody to want to 20 take their money out. If you have an illiquid asset that goes 21 up, people are going to be enticed to make withdrawals. And I 22 think that was his major problem and you don't have the money 23 to give it because your asset is illiquid.
 - Q. Did you say anything about the correctness of Mark's valuations?

- 1 A. Absolutely not.
- 2 Q. Did you have any information to form an opinion confirming
- 3 or contradicting his valuations?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 | Q. When you told this to Mark, what was Mark's reaction?
- 6 A. Mark got very angry at me, he screamed at me, it was in the
- 7 | middle of a restaurant. And he says, you don't come into the
- 8 | office, go back to Monsey, go back to Yeshiva, you do not how
- 9 | to run a hedge fund. I have two accountants and an evaluator
- 10 who tell me how to run the hedge fund.
- 11 | Q. What did you do after he said that?
- 12 A. If I'm not mistaken, I was very upset. He embarrassed me
- 13 | in front of not only the people sitting at the table, but there
- 14 was a group of people sitting in the restaurant. So I might
- 15 have left then or very soon after.
- 16 | Q. Did you continue to invest your family money in the fund?
- 17 A. I don't remember if I put in new money, but I did not
- 18 | withdraw my money.
- 19 Q. Did you continue to have confidence in Mark?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 \parallel Q. Why was that?
- 22 | A. Because Mark was an optimistic person. I knew Mark over
- 23 | the years, not only from '12 to '16, that Mark would invest,
- 24 overinvest every penny that he had. A good deal came his way,
- 25 he would put money in it even if he didn't have the money for

- it. And he showed me very nice returns. And I believe, you know, he believed in everything he did.
- Q. Did Mark have a previous experience with a liquidity crisis with PPVA?
- A. Mark had an experience in 2008 when the whole world was shaking and all the hedge funds were shaking. And if I remember correctly, he side-pocketed the nonliquid assets, meaning that nobody could take out any of their money. If I'm
- 10 nobody was allowed to take out. It's called a side pocket.

not mistaken, it was 55 or 60 percent of the fund's assets that

- 11 And then, over the years, he worked out of it and I think by
- 12 | 2010, two years later, everybody was able to receive all their
- money, whatever they wanted.
- Q. Did you say at that dinner something to the effect that no
- one is taking money out?
- 16 A. No.

- 17 | Q. We've heard testimony about a gentleman named Marcos Katz.
- 18 | Who is Marcos Katz?
- A. Marcos Katz was an older man who lived in Mexico City, a wealthy man who I knew for quite a few years.
- 21 Q. Did you bring Mr. Katz into PPVA or Platinum?
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- 23 Q. Who at Platinum had the closest relationship with Mr. Katz?
- 24 A. Murray Huberfeld.
- 25 | Q. Did you have occasion in or about 2015, 2016 to meet with

- 1 Mr. Katz on one or more occasions?
- 2 Yes, I did. Α.
- 3 And can you tell us why you were meeting with Mr. Katz?
- Mr. Katz was very upset that he put in redemptions and they 4 Α.
- 5 weren't giving him his money.
- Why did you meet with him? 6 0.
- 7 I met with him to try and explain to him that there's no
- money and nobody's getting redemptions. 8
- 9 Do you recall anything else that was discussed with
- 10 Mr. Katz?
- 11 I think, if I'm not mistaken, there were two meetings, one
- 12 in Mexico and one in Acapulco.
- 13 Q. Was the conversation different or basically are you
- 14 testifying to what you recall from the two meetings?
- 15 I think one meeting, Marcos Katz was discussing coming into
- the fund and being a partner in management. He was telling us 16
- 17 that he was able to bring in investors, and his name being
- associated with the fund would help the fund immensely. I 18
- think he introduced Murray Huberfeld and Mark Nordlicht to some 19
- 20 Saudi Arabian person that they trapped that he said he could
- 21 put in \$200 million. And he was going to introduce him, he
- 22 knew him. And they would fly down there -- and I think they
- 23 did fly down there and they met with him. That's my
- 24 recollection.
- 25 Did you know Marcos Katz's grandson, Michael Katz?

MCCCpla2

- 1 A. Yes, I knew him.
- 2 | Q. Did you see him at the Platinum offices?
- 3 A. When I was in the office, he was usually there.
- 4 | Q. Any idea what he was doing?
- 5 A. Marcos Katz wanted him to have a job. Mr. Huberfeld told
- 6 me that Marcos Katz wanted him to have a job at Platinum and to
- 7 | watch over the fund for him.
- 8 | Q. And did you come to form an understanding as to what
- 9 | Michael Katz was doing to monitor the investment?
- 10 A. I noticed that he was very busy meeting with David Levy and
- 11 | Mark Nordlicht a lot.
- 12 | Q. Did Michael Katz have any connection to the fund itself?
- 13 A. Connection in which way?
- 14 | Q. I'll rephrase it.
- 15 You said that Marcos Katz expressed an interest at one
- 16 | of these meetings in joining the management or ownership of the
- 17 | fund. Did that include a role for his grandson?
- 18 A. Yes, he wanted his grandson to be part of the fund.
- 19 Q. Did you make any misrepresentations to Marcos Katz about
- 20 | valuations?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 23 | Q. Was the conversation even about valuations?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. In 2016, did there come a time when Mark Nordlicht asked

- 1 | you and Murray Huberfeld to give up your shares in Platinum
- 2 | Management?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What did Mark tell you?
- 5 A. Mark told me that he needs money and money is not coming
- 6 in, so he would like to sell our piece of the management to
- 7 raise money.
- 8 | Q. Before he asked you to give up your piece of management,
- 9 | had he asked you for personal money?
- 10 A. He constantly asked me to put in personal money.
- 11 | Q. And did you agree?
- 12 A. I told him that I can't afford it.
- 13 | Q. So you did not agree?
- 14 A. I did not agree to put in any more personal money.
- 15 | Q. Did Mark explain to you why it would make sense for you and
- 16 Mr. Huberfeld to give up your interest in Platinum Management?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what did he say?
- 19 | A. He said that if we don't give up, if he doesn't raise
- 20 money, the fund is going to fall apart and everybody's going to
- 21 | lose their money because you're not going to be able to support
- 22 | the companies to give them the money they need to develop or go
- 23 | further. So it would make sense to give it up to save my
- 24 | \$80 million that I had together with Mrs. Huberfeld to get that
- 25 out by just giving up my ownership in Platinum.

- 1 Q. Did you consider that your ownership in Platinum Management
- 2 | had value?
- 3 A. Definitely.
- 4 | Q. Did this develop into an agreement?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And in connection with the agreement, did the agreement
- 7 | contain mutual releases?
- 8 A. Yes, it did.
- 9 Q. Was there also a companion agreement between Mr. Katz and
- 10 | the Platinum Partners?
- 11 A. To my recollection, there was.
- 12 Q. Do you have a recollection of who actually first raised the
- 13 | issue of releases?
- 14 | A. No, I don't.
- 15 | Q. Did you raise the issue of releases?
- 16 | A. No, I did not.
- 17 | Q. I'd like to show you JX 74.
- 18 MR. LAUER: Your Honor, despite our great efforts, we
- 19 have not been able to clarify whether this actually was
- 20 | technically received or not.
- 21 | THE COURT: My recollection is that when that question
- 22 | was last raised, I said that if it had not previously been
- 23 | received, it was now received. But to put it so there is no
- 24 doubt whatsoever, it has been or will now be received.
- 25 (Joint Trial Exhibit 74 received in evidence)

- 1 MR. LAUER: Thank you, your Honor. Thank you. Thank 2 you.
- 3 BY MR. LAUER:
- 4 Q. Mr. Bodner, I'm showing you what has been marked as Joint
- 5 Exhibit 74. Is this the release agreement between Platinum
- 6 Management and you and Mr. Huberfeld?
- 7 THE COURT: Do you want to look at a hard copy?
- 8 THE WITNESS: No, I'm fine.
- 9 Can we go to the next page. Α.
- 10 Please, let's go to the next page. Q.
- 11 Α. Thank you.
- 12 You're free to read it, but you don't have to read it in
- 13 detail.
- 14 A. Ask me the question.
- 15 THE COURT: Would someone please go to the last 16 signature page.
- 17 On the bottom-right column, it says David Bodner and 18 then there is a signature. Is that your signature?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, that's my signature. 19
- 20 THE COURT: And you signed it because you accepted and 21 entered into this agreement, yes?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 23 THE COURT: Very good.
- 24 MR. LAUER: Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 Was your agreement dependent on Mr. Katz actually going

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Bodner - Direct

- 1 | through with his own agreement?
 - A. That was not my understanding.
- Q. At the time that you entered into this agreement, did you have --

THE COURT: Just so I'm clear, you understood that
this was in conjunction with your giving up your ownership in
the management company, yes?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And you said a minute ago that that had value. Would you explain why, in your view, it had that?

THE WITNESS: It had value because if you go back, over the years, the management company, if I'm not mistaken, I could be off by the figures, was able to generate in incentive fees and in management about 40 to \$50 million a year.

THE COURT: And that was money that was above what one would get from just being an investor?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, counsel.

- BY MR. LAUER:
 - Q. By the way, in this agreement, there's an indemnity. Did you ask Mark Nordlicht to indemnify you?
- 22 A. I think I did.
- Q. What was the particular issue for which you asked Mark to provide an indemnity?
 - A. It's called gift tax. I was gifting my ownership back to

would pay it.

Bodner - Direct

- Platinum Management and my accountants told me that I might
 have a liability on a gift tax due to the value of it. Plus, I
 was giving back any fees that were owed to me. So I wanted him
 to indemnify me, if I have any liability, tax liability, he
- Q. Now, in this agreement, you agreed to a two-year lockup?
- 7 A. Yes.

5

8

19

25

- Q. And Mr. Huberfeld agreed to a two-year lockup?
- 9 A. Mr. Huberfeld also agreed.
- Q. So approximately how much money were you agreeing not to take out of PPVA and PPCO?
- 12 | A. Between myself and Mr. Huberfeld? Around \$80 million.
- 13 Q. So why did you agree to this?
- A. Because the fund was in dire straits. Mark Nordlicht
 explained to me that by selling ownership, it had a tremendous
 value. If I remember correctly, he told me it could -ownership could be worth \$200 million and by selling my piece,
 he would be able to raise \$70 million either by having people

invest money in Platinum and giving them a small piece of the

- ownership or by just selling the ownership straight out.
- Q. At the time that you signed this agreement, did you have any idea that Murray Huberfeld had given funds to Jonah
- 23 Rechnitz regarding COBA?
- 24 A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Did you have any idea that Murray Huberfeld faced criminal

- 1 exposure for that?
- 2 A. No, I did not.
- 3 | Q. Were you charged in the COBA case?
- 4 A. No, I was not.
- 5 | Q. Were you a witness at the trial?
- 6 A. I was not a witness.
- 7 Q. Did the government interview you for assistance at the
- 8 | trial or cooperation?
- 9 A. No, the government did not interview me at all.
- 10 Q. Did you have any contact with COBA?
- 11 A. No contact whatsoever.
- 12 | Q. At the time that you signed this agreement, did you have
- 13 any concerns that PPVA had claims for incentive fees or
- 14 management fees against you?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. At any time between 2012 and when you signed this release,
- 17 did you have any idea that Platinum Management would be sued
- 18 | for fraud or that Mark Nordlicht would be indicted for fraud?
- 19 A. No, I had no idea.
- 20 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 21 | Q. Were you aware of any claims that PPVA had against Platinum
- 22 | Management?
- 23 A. I was not aware of any claims, no.
- 24 | Q. Now, a few months after you signed this agreement, Murray
- 25 | Huberfeld was arrested; right?

- 1 Α. Correct.
- What happened to the fund after that? 2 Q.
- 3 I think the fund was in disarray. I wasn't that often in
- 4 the office, but I think everything was in disarray. I was more
- 5 busy -- Mark Nordlicht was my partner for 30 years, I was more
- 6 busy visiting him and seeing how I could help him.
- 7 Q. Mark Nordlicht was indeed charged. Do you know what he was
- charged with? 8
- 9 I think he was charged for over-valuating the fund and also
- 10 something with a Black Elk vote.
- 11 Did you have anything to do with the Black Elk vote?
- 12 Absolutely nothing to do.
- 13 And what happened to the charge against Mr. Nordlicht for 0.
- 14 overvaluing the fund?
- 15 Α. The jury found him not guilty.
- Objection. 16 MR. GLUCK:
- 17 So that objection, of course, should have THE COURT:
- 18 been made before the answer was given, and I've warned
- plaintiffs' counsel before to be a little more alert to that, 19
- 20 but the objection is sustained.
- 21 I would like to show you a group of different emails that
- 22 have come into evidence so that you will have the opportunity
- 23 to help us understand the documents. We could start with the
- 24 screen.
- 25 MR. LAUER: These are all in evidence.

- THE WITNESS: For me, it would be better with the 1 It's much better for me. 2 screen.
- 3 You want paper or screen? 0.
 - Screen. Α.

- Screen, fine. Let me show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 442. 5
- 6 Take a look at it and let me know when you're ready for a 7 question.
- 8 I'm ready for a question.
- 9 Who is Lee Haddad? Ο.
- 10 We used to make a charity party twice a year at the home of
- 11 Ira Rennert to raise money for poor people in Israel. I was
- 12 very involved. And the one who was in charge of all the
- 13 logistics and doing everything was a gentleman by the name of
- 14 Lee Haddad.
- 15 Q. And in the middle of the page, a gentleman named Eliyahu is
- writing you, attaching a summary investment and valuation for 16
- 17 Omek. What was this?
- 18 A. Eliyahu is Lee Haddad. His Hebrew name is Eliyahu. Omek
- 19 is a company, a private company that he wanted me to invest in.
- 20 Did this have anything to do with PPVA?
- 21 Nothing to do with PPVA. Α.
- 22 The bottom of the page says what's the story of Jay
- 23 Schottenstein. Do you know who that refers to?
- 24 A. Yes, that refers to the party. If I'm going to solicit
- 25 Jay, to bring money to the party and to come to the party.

- 1 And was Mr. Schottenstein a well known, wealthy
- 2 businessman?
- 3 Yes, he was a well known, wealthy businessman.
- Did you solicit him? 4 Q.
- I had Ira Rennert elicit him. 5 Α.
- And that was for the charity event? 6 0.
- 7 Α. Yes, it was.
- 8 By the way, is Mr. Rennert also another wealthy Jewish
- 9 businessman?
- 10 Yes, he is. Α.
- 11 Who is Isaac Barber?
- 12 Isaac Barber was -- I think he was a -- a manager of a
- 13 portfolio at the fund. I used to use him to help me out to
- 14 value companies. He was very smart and he was able to look at
- 15 a company and tell me what he thought it was valued at.
- MR. LAUER: Turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 417. 16
- 17 This is dated November 16, 2012. That's the day of the
- 18 explosion on one of the platforms that Black Elk had in the
- Gulf of Mexico? 19
- 20 I don't recall this email at all. I don't know who Chaya
- 21 is.
- 22 This says someone left a message on your phone for
- 23 Black Elk investors. Do you have any idea what this is about?
- 24 Α. No idea what's going on.
- 25 Did you solicit anyone to become a Black Elk investor?

MCCCpla2 Bodner - Direct

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- 2 | Q. Were you a Black Elk investor?
- 3 A. No, I was not.
- 4 MR. LAUER: Turn to PX 381.
- 5 Q. Do you have it?
- 6 | A. Yes.

- Q. This looks like a list of terms?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was this the precursor to the creation of the Beechwood
- 10 | business?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Were you at this initial meeting?
- 13 A. No, I was not.
- 14 | Q. But you were shown this?
- 15 A. It was sent to me.
- 16 | Q. What was your understanding of the business model of the
- 17 | Beechwood business?
- 18 A. Beechwood was brought to me by my partner, Murray
- 19 | Huberfeld. He said that he has a friend, Mark Feuer, who has a
- 20 sterling reputation. He used to work at Merrill Lynch and he
- 21 wants to start a reinsured business. The way I understood a
- 22 | reinsurer business is that insurance companies have to meet
- 23 every year for policies, if somebody dies or if they have to
- 24 pay insurance for anything that happens, in order to meet that,
- 25 | they would pick the premiums that they have and they would give

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Bodner - Direct

it to a reinsurer to invest it. And Murray explained me that according to the actuaries, the people who make charts to see how much an insurance company should need each year, in order to meet their commitments, anything over and above that their investment makes over 4 or 5 percent, we would receive as our profits, the reinsurer would receive it, Beechwood would receive it. Being that we were in the asset lending business, myself and Mr. Huberfeld, over the years, something that made a lot of sense to me, that there's investments out there that could earn much more than 4 percent, and that profit would go to us. Also, I was explained that first losses that come from these investments go to us. So we would have to put up \$100 million in capital.

- 14 Q. Did Mr. Nordlicht participate in this?
- 15 \parallel A. Yes, he did.
- 16 | Q. And did the three of you put up capital?
- 17 | A. We did.
- 18 | Q. And what was Feuer's role in all this?
- 19 A. Mr. Feuer and Mr. Scott Taylor were the bosses of
- 20 Beechwood. They ran Beechwood and their job was to get the
- 21 | insurance companies to invest the money.
- 22 | Q. And you, Nordlicht, and Huberfeld would put up the capital?
- 23 A. We'd put up the risk capital, the \$100 million.
- 24 | Q. What do you mean by risk capital?
- 25 A. That means that if you invested an investment -- let's say

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Bodner - Direct

- an insurance company puts in \$50 million in the reinsurer and you make an investment for the reinsurer and you lose

 \$5 million, that would come from you before you go take the

 money from the insurance company, and it went on a ratio

 according to how much money was put in.
 - Q. Approximately how much capital did you personally or your family members put up to capitalize the Beechwood business?
 - A. I was explained that it was capitalized at different levels. It had to start first with \$40 million at one level. I didn't really understand it, but this is the way Mark Feuer explained it to me, that there's different levels of capital that go in. The original capital we had to put in was \$40 million, after that, another amount of money, and then at another level, another amount of money. Ultimately, I had to
 - Q. And what happened to that capital?

put up \$25 million worth of capital.

- A. It was lost, except for certain shares that I didn't have to put in, a certain amount of shares, which was worth, if I'm not mistaken, about three or \$4 million.
- Q. In connection with Beechwood, you, Mr. Nordlicht,
- 21 Mr. Huberfeld put up the majority of the capital?
- 22 A. All the capital.
- Q. Did you have an agreement with Nordlicht and Huberfeld similar to the agreement you had in Platinum in terms of who would be in charge of Beechwood?

MCCCpla2 Bodner - Direct

- 1 Α. No.
- 2 So you were each free to express your views? Q.
- 3 We each expressed our views in Beechwood. Α.
- And Mr. Nordlicht did not control Beechwood? 4 Q.
- 5 As far as I understood, Mark Feuer and Scott Taylor were 6 the bosses there.
- 7 MR. LAUER: Turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 377.
- This seems to be a meeting at Prime KO. Prime KO was a 8 9 restaurant?
- 10 Prime KO was a restaurant, yes.
- 11 Do you recall this dinner?
- It was in 2013. I do not recall it. 12 Α.
- 13 So this says please remind Mark to bring with him to Ο.
- 14 Prime KO two copies of positions for PPCO and PPVA.
- 15 What did that look like, if you can recall?
- 16 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 17 MR. LAUER: I'll rephrase it.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 In what form did these positions appear? Q.
- 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Wait a minute. I thought you 21 testified you don't recall the dinner.
- 22 THE WITNESS: That's what I told him, yes. He was 23 asking me a lot of questions.
- 24 THE COURT: So do you recall receiving, at the dinner, 25 some information?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE WITNESS: I don't recall this dinner. 1

THE COURT: So when, if ever, did you receive the two copies of the positions for PPCO and PPVA?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall receiving it.

THE COURT: So how can you know what's in them?

Sustained.

BY MR. LAUER:

- Q. At any dinner, do you remember receiving a handout from Mark Nordlicht?
- 10 A. At most dinners with Mark Nordlicht, he would come with, 11 scribbled on a piece of paper, positions that he wrote himself. 12 And he didn't give us copies, he read them off, and he told us

his liquidity needs, that this position needs this much money

14 and this needs this and withdrawals.

> MR. GLUCK: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive, most of the answer.

> > THE COURT: I'll allow it. Overruled.

MR. LAUER: Turn to PX 467.

- Q. This is an email from Angela with your calendar, and your calendar is Mr. Huberfeld's calendar, and then on the bottom, David's calendar.
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 So let's turn to David's calendar. Let's go through this 25 meeting.

5

6

15

- The first meeting, 12:00 p.m., Mark Nordlicht and 1 Allen Clingman. Do you have a recollection of meeting with 2 3 Mark and Allen Clingman?
 - I don't have an exact recollection, but I probably met with them about China Horizon, you know, if I would put more money into it.
- 7 1:00 p.m., Nelson Heft. Who was he?
- 8 He was somebody who was bringing me a private deal to look 9 at.
- 10 Do you remember the deal? Ο.
- 11 Α. No, I don't.
- 12 2:00 p.m., meeting with Rob Koltun, Murray Huberfeld, David
- 13 Bodner --
- 14 Rob Koltun was a hedge fund manager that I had a substantial amount of money in together with Murray.
- That was a personal investment? 16 0.
- 17 Personal investment, yes. Α.
- 18 2:30, Isaac Barber, Murray Huberfeld, David Bodner.
- 19 As I stated on all my private deals, whenever I needed
- 20 help, I used to call Isaac Barber to evaluate for me, if I
- 21 should go into the deal or not. Also, together, we tried to
- 22 start a company. So could be that was the meeting.
- 3:15, Ben Mayer? 23 0.
- 24 3:15, Ben Mayer is having problems, marriage problems with
- 25 his wife. He was my nephew, so I used to meet with him and his

- 1 wife periodically.
- 2 Q. 3:30, Tzvi Rosenblum?
- 3 A. Tzvi Rosenblum was a boy that was on drugs and I would meet
- 4 | with him and have constant contact with him to try to get him
- 5 off drugs and become a productive citizen.
- Q. 4:30, meeting Ruby Schron and David Bodner at Ruby's
- 7 office. Why were you meeting with Ruby Schron?
- 8 A. I was meeting with him about buying a property in Monsey,
- 9 New York, where I live, to build affordable housing for people
- 10 who can't afford houses.
- MR. LAUER: Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 470.
- 12 | THE COURT: Counsel, let's find a spot in the next few
- 13 | minutes, even though we started late, I still do want to give
- 14 | the jury their midmorning break.
- MR. LAUER: I could stop at any time. I don't have
- 16 that much more, but I could stop. We should take the break.
- 17 THE COURT: So, ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a
- 18 | 15-minute break.
- 19 (Continued on next page)
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1 | (J

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

So, I thank counsel for their very helpful letter briefs submitted yesterday.

With respect to any aiding and abetting theory, having now reviewed the underlying case law as well as the briefs of counsel, I agree with defense counsel that aiding and abetting cannot be a theory for retroactive be liability so that if, for example, someone enters into a fraudulent scheme by aiding and abetting, an aspect of the scheme in 2016, that would not support liability for fraud and damages occurring before 2016.

Furthermore, I adhere to the preliminary ruling I made in connection with the motions in limine, that the aiding and abetting counts here are duplicative of the substantive counts and so they will not be charged to the jury.

With respect to conspiracy, while I continue to adhere to the position stated in summary judgment that New York law does not recognize the separate count for conspiracy, I am no longer of the view that conspiracy and aiding and abetting are, on the facts of this case, duplicative. Specifically, I think that if plaintiff can show by meeting all the elements of an evidentiary proof of conspiracy to support one or the other substantive counts and can show that Mr. Bodner joined in that conspiracy, that would support liability for earlier damages on the classic conspiracy theory that one, when one enters a

conspiracy and becomes part of a conspiracy, one is then liable for what has occurred in the past in furtherance of the conspiracy. So the liability for pre-2016 damages remains viable, but only on a conspiracy theory.

I think those are the only issues that I asked the parties to address in the letter briefs. There are other issues that, of course, we'll want to take up at the charging conference that we should talk today about when that's going to be.

Unless there's anything else, we'll give you all a 10-minute break.

MR. GLUCK: Your Honor, 2014 or 2016?

THE COURT: Maybe it was 2014. I'm sorry. The point is, if you can show a conspiracy, you can go back to the beginning of the conspiracy. If you can't show a conspiracy, then aiding and abetting is out of the case and that's not going to help you.

MR. LAUER: Your Honor, may I just take exception to the conspiracy portion of this?

THE COURT: Yes, of course. Your adversary probably takes exception to the aiding and abetting theory. And I've learned through long experience that if both sides disagree with what I rule, I almost certainly got it right. In any event, that's for another court to say one way or the other.

(Recess)

MCCCpla2 Bodner - Direct

1 (Jury present)

2 MR. LAUER: May I continue?

THE COURT: Please.

MR. LAUER: Please bring up on the screen

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 470.

- BY MR. LAUER:
- 7 Q. Mr. Bodner, this is an email that was sent to you from
- 8 Bernie Fuchs. Do you see that?
- 9 | A. Yes.

4

5

- 10 Q. And the email that he sent you was from Allen Clingman and
- 11 | it talks about the UBS offering document. Do you recall this?
- 12 A. I don't recall, but it looks to me like they were trying to
- entice me to put more money into China Horizon by showing me
- 14 | that there's banks that are going to put money in.
- 15 | Q. Did you have any connection with UBS?
- 16 A. No connection whatsoever.
- MR. LAUER: Turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 384, which
- 18 | would be next on the screen.
- 19 Q. This is an email from Elliot Feit to Naftali Manela. Who's
- 20 | Elliot Feit?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 | Q. Regarding Puerto Rico limits, he's here now, Scott taking
- 23 | Murray and Mr. Bodner through the CNO limits now in Feuer's
- 24 office.
- 25 So who's Scott?

- 1 A. Scott must be Scott Taylor, who was Mark Feuer's partner.
- 2 | Q. Do you recall what this meeting was about?
- 3 A. I think he was taking us through to show us what an
- 4 insurance company -- what a reinsurance, a lot of investing for
- 5 an insurance company.
- 6 Q. And why were you at that meeting?
- 7 A. I was an owner in Beechwood, and also, my son was out there
- 8 | bringing deals to different people and to different funds. So
- 9 he asked me to find out what deals Beechwood would do.
- 10 Q. Next document is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 414. This is an email
- 11 | from David Steinberg to Angela Albanese copying Ezra Beren,
- 12 | Murray Huberfeld. Meeting with David B.
- Do you recall this meeting?
- 14 A. I think this meeting was about a private company that I had
- 15 | in Israel that David Steinberg was involved with me in.
- 16 | Q. Do you remember the name of the company?
- 17 A. It was a gambling company. I don't remember the name
- 18 offhand.
- 19 Q. Next document is Defendant's Exhibit 710. You're not on
- 20 | this email, are you?
- 21 A. No, I do not see myself on there.
- 22 (Continued on next page)
- 23
- 24
- 25

- 1 BY MR. LAUER:
- 2 Q. Take a look at this for a moment. I will ask you some
- 3 | questions. Tell me when you are ready.
- 4 A. I'm ready.
- 5 | Q. At the bottom, Mark Nordlicht writes Ezra Tuchman, "Are you
- 6 | available 12:30 Monday to meet with my partner David Bodner to
- 7 | talk about the merchant cash advance line." Do you see that?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you remember any meetings about merchant cash advance
- 10 | line?
- 11 A. I think I do remember that they wanted us to put money in
- 12 | this business, a merchant cash advance.
- 13 | Q. And then in the middle of the page, Mark writes, "Okay.
- 14 | There's a chance I might be in London, but David will be there
- 15 | regardless. I would like to hear more, but I'm already sold on
- 16 | the borrower." Do you see that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were you the borrower?
- 19 | A. No, I was not.
- 20 | Q. And then later up the letter, Ezra writes, "Good morning.
- 21 | I just received an e-mail from David that he has decided to
- 22 move forward with another lender." Is that referring to you?
- 23 Were you the borrower?
- 24 A. No, I was not the borrower here.
- 25 | Q. Okay.

- A. I didn't borrow money from Mark Nordlicht.
- 2 Q. And did you ever overrule Mark Nordlicht on a loan
- 3 transaction?

- 4 A. Never.
- 5 | Q. Did you ever overrule Mark Nordlicht on anything?
- 6 A. No, I did not.
- 7 Q. Let's do Plaintiffs' Exhibit 415. This is "Bodner needs
- 8 | four uninterrupted hours with Mark—two hours Tuesday, two
- 9 hours Wednesday." Do you remember either the e-mail or the
- 10 subject matter of the e-mail?
- 11 | A. Yes, I do.
- 12 | Q. Okay. What do you remember?
- 13 A. Mark Nordlicht had a plan to open up schools in the modern
- 14 orthodox communities all over the United States for a cheaper
- 15 | tuition. Tuition was costing about \$25,000 a student, and most
- 16 working people were not able to afford it. So he had proposed
- 17 | a plan how he is going to bring the tuition down to \$8,000 with
- 18 | the same type of education and he would open this up all
- 19 | through the United States.
- 20 And he was haunting me every week, I have to meet with
- 21 | him, I have to meet with him, I have to meet with him, he has
- 22 | to show me the meeting, what this is about. So I decided, I
- 23 | said that I have this and this day, but I don't want any
- 24 | interruptions. Because Mark was used to taking phone calls in
- 25 the middle of a meeting. He didn't concentrate. He was unable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

17

18

Bodner - Direct

to concentrate on one thing. So I told him that's the only way I'm going to meet him.

So he told me we have to meet with a group of five people and go over exactly the plan. He wanted me because he felt, through my charities, I knew a lot of very wealthy individuals, like Ira Rennert, Jay Schottenstein, Izzy Englander, and maybe through them I will be able to raise him -- he was looking to raise millions and millions of dollars to implement this plan.

- 10 Ο. Did --
 - That's what this meeting was about.
- 12 Q. Sorry.
- 13 Did one or more of these meetings occur?
- 14 I remember one meeting occurred, and I met with Mark and Α. 15 with a gentleman and with a lady.
- 16 And what was the topic? 0.
 - The topic was the school, the plan. They showed me a whole plan, how it's going to work, how the kids are going to get
- 19 educated, where they are going to open it. They took me 20
- through everything.
- 21 And after the meeting, did you do anything on this?
- 22 I didn't do anything because I was very overcommitted with
- my own charities and I couldn't bring in a new charity at that 23
- 24 point to this.
- 25 The next document is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 373. Tell me when

- 1 | you are ready. You are not on this e-mail.
- 2 A. I think I am ready.
- 3 | Q. Okay. So where did Brian Jedwab work?
- 4 A. Brian Jedwab I think worked upstairs on the 54th floor.
 - Q. He was not part of the PPVA portfolio group?

 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
 - Q. Was he part of PPVA?
 - A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. This says, like the third or fourth line down, "Consumer lending line is an offshoot of bellicose and was cut from 50 million to 10 million by DB after term sheet was completed."
- 12 Do you know what that references?
- 13 A. No, not offhand.
- 14 Q. Maybe a third of the way down to the bottom there is the
- 15 sentence, "This revised loan agreement against the business
- 16 | loans is also a good one. Paul Reddam has been a great
- 17 | borrower. I can't go back and modify any further to lower the
- advance rate as Dovid asked." Do you know what that is
- 19 referencing?
- 20 | A. I was an investor with Brian Jedwab in Cash Call which, if
- 21 | I remember correctly, Paul Reddam ran it. And when Brian came
- 22 | to me to ask me to put more money in, a substantial amount of
- 23 money, I asked him that he should go back to the borrower and
- 24 | try to get me a better deal.
- 25 | Q. Did -- was this a PPVA asset?

- 1 A. I think PPVA was also an investor in Cash Call.
- 2 | Q. But this was Brian Jedwab's transaction?
- 3 A. I think Brian Jedwab brought deals to PPVA and to PPCO. I
- 4 | think they invested together.
- 5 Q. Turning to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 588. This is an e-mail from
- 6 Mr. Nordlicht to Murray Huberfeld and he starts out, "Please
- 7 | read this to Dovid." Do you recall Murray reading this to you
- 8 | or showing it to you or discussing it with you?
- 9 A. I recall somebody showing it to me.
- 10 | Q. So the second paragraph, Mr. Nordlicht says to
- 11 Mr. Huberfeld for him to say to you, "The frustrating part for
- 12 | me and Bernie is that Mr. Fuchs" --
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. -- "when we discussed, it's that we have gone all in with
- 15 | our lives, and we feel it is not the same for you guys." "You
- 16 guys" refers to you and Mr. Huberfeld.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And then he says, "God knows I'm not perfect, and I accept
- 19 | blame for getting us into liquidity crisis." And then that was
- 20 Mr. Nordlicht accepting blame for the liquidity crisis.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And then the next paragraph says, "There seems to be a
- 23 complete refusal to go out on a limb to go the extra mile to
- 24 close." Then he says, "Shlomo Yehuda offered to help." Is
- 25 | that Mr. Shlomo Yehuda Rechnitz?

Mcc2Pla3

1

4

5

6

7

8

- A. I would think so.
- Q. Then he says, "We have 35 more raised and frum people's money will be salvaged."
 - What was it that Mr. Nordlicht wanted you to do with that information?
 - A. He wanted me to solicit money from the group of people at the bottom of the list.
 - Q. What's the reference to frum people?
- 9 A. These are religious people in the community.
- Q. Then he says, "The others on the list below, it's embarrassing to go to people and say you need help, but at this point that's where we are. Go to Izzy." Who is Izzy?
- 13 A. Izzy Englander.
- 14 | Q. And Garfunkel?
- 15 A. George Garfunkel.
- 16 | Q. Or Schroen, who is that?
- 17 A. Ruby Schroen.
- Q. "And say I know you don't normally invest in funds, but I
 need a favor. We have this fund that is healthy, so much so
 that we are closing for a year because we don't want to dilute
 returns." And he continues, "If you invest in that, you would
 be doing me a big favor, and I really think you would do well."
 And then Mr. Nordlicht continues, "Why aren't we doing this,
- 24 Dovid? For all you have done for Klal Ysroil."
- 25 What is the reference to *Klal Ysroil*?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. He is saying why shouldn't I ask these people for a favor since I was involved with them with all different types of charities for the *Klal Yisroil*, for the Jewish people.
 - Q. In other words, they should put money in to help the fund?
 - A. Yes, because the liquidity crisis that he caused.
 - Q. Then he says "you," meaning you, David Bodner, "owe it to your future tzdakkas to swallow pride and allow/urge people to help." What is that referencing?
 - A. Meaning if I lose money in the fund, I'm not going to be able to give charity or make charity parties or doing anything for charity anymore.
 - Q. And did you understand why -- what he meant by you should swallow your pride?
 - A. I never went out to raise money. My mother taught me that when you raise money and you make money for people, they never say thank you; and if you lose, all you hear is complaints. So I went with that motto, and I never looked to take money from people. He wanted me to swallow my pride and tell one of these people, I'm desperate, I need the money, please help me out, and everything will be okay.
 - Q. Did you go out and solicit either these people or other people to raise money at that time?
- 23 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 508. This starts with someone named Gabe Feder. Do you see that?

- 1 A. Yes. I didn't read the e-mail yet. Do you want me to read 2 it?
- 3 Q. Take a minute to read it, sure.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Who is Gabe Feder?
- 6 A. Gabe Feder at one time was a portfolio manager for PPVA.
- 7 Q. And what is Mr. Feder writing about?
- 8 A. Mr. Feder went on to work for Abraham Fruchthandler, and
- 9 Abraham Fruchthandler had a sizeable investment in Platinum
- 10 PPVA.
- 11 | Q. And what is it that Mr. Feder is writing about?
- 12 | A. He is writing about that Mr. Nordlicht, the way I
- 13 understood him, Mr. Nordlicht didn't pay back the holdbacks.
- 14 When a fund gives you withdrawal on your money, they hold back
- 15 certain money. They don't give you your full withdrawal
- 16 because they hold back certain money for to make sure that the
- 17 | accounting, everything is -- comes out the way that they
- 18 | figured it would come out. So from this letter it looks like
- 19 | the two charitable investors from Mr. Fruchthandler didn't get
- 20 | their holdback, and they had a letter prepared to send to their
- 21 | CC.
- 22 | Q. And what did you have to do with this? Why were they
- 23 copying this letter for you?
- 24 A. I was a friend of Mr. Fruchthandler and we respected each
- 25 other, and they wanted me to go down and talk to

- 1 Mr. Fruchthandler, to tell him the situation, that there is no 2 liquidity, and please there should be no letters sent out or 3 threats to the fund because of this.
 - Q. And did you speak with Mr. Fruchthandler?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.

- 6 | Q. And how did -- how did the situation get resolved?
- 7 A. Mr. Fruchthandler was shocked. He said, I never sent a
- 8 | letter and this has nothing to do with me. I would never send
- 9 a letter, and that was basically all I heard about it.
- 10 Q. Turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 368.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you recall the e-mail?
- 13 A. I don't think the e-mail was sent to me.
- 14 Q. Okay. Do you recall any particular problems in connection
- 15 | with the release agreement?
- 16 | A. No. It looks like I sent it to my lawyer to look it over,
- 17 | to see that everything in the agreement was correct, and it
- 18 sounds like the lawyer couldn't give an answer until 4 p.m. and
- 19 Mark wanted to know before then
- 20 Q. Turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 372. This is an e-mail to Mark
- 21 | attaching this fax from Marcos Katz. Do you see that?
- 22 A. I don't see the fax.
- 23 Q. The next page.
- 24 A. I don't have it.
- 25 Q. You will get it.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

- How did you get -- did you see this letter around the time that it was sent?
- A. I think I did.
- Q. And did you have any discussion with someone from the Katz family on or about the date that this letter came?
 - A. I was called by one of Mr. Katz's daughters, very frustrated that she couldn't reach Mr. Nordlicht, and her father wanted his money back. And she tried Mr. Huberfeld and she couldn't reach him. She called me and she told me about her frustration, and I told her that the only one who could take care of you is Mr. Nordlicht. I have no authority or no
 - Q. Turn to Defendant's Exhibit 312. I should ask you, with respect to Katz and the Katz letter, did you speak with Nordlicht?
 - A. I spoke to -- yeah, I definitely spoke to Nordlicht.

control over the fund and I can't help you.

- Q. And what did he tell you?
- A. I went down to him and I told him -- I went down on 55th

 Street and I told him he has to talk to Mr. Katz. He can't

 avoid calls.
 - MR. LAUER: We don't want to see 312. It is not in evidence. That was a mistake. Sorry.
- 23 That completes the e-mails.
- Q. One of the assets that PPVA was invested in was Black Elk.

 Did Mark Nordlicht consult with you before PPVA invested in

Mcc2Pla3

Black Elk?

- 2 No, he did not. Α.
- 3 Q. Were you aware in or about November of 2012 that an
- explosion had occurred on one of the offshore platforms at 4
- 5 Black Elk?
- A. Yes, I was aware. 6
- 7 Q. Did you have any discussion with anyone from PPVA
- concerning the impact the explosion might have on the value of 8
- 9 PPVA?
- 10 I don't remember -- I remember that in the office they were
- 11 talking about it, and what was said was that it's very
- 12 unfortunate that a person died there, but they -- Black Elk has
- 13 a tremendous amount of oil reserves.
- 14 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- Q. Do you recall --15
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. That objection, while it would 16
- 17 have been proper had it been made in a timely fashion, was
- 18 clearly waived. You can't wait until he finishes a
- multi-sentence answer and then say "objection." So overruled. 19
- 20 MR. GLUCK: I have to wait second, because my hearing
- 21 is terrible, so I have to watch on the screen.
- 22 Α. I should continue?
- 23 THE COURT: Well, the point is that the objection was
- 24 one that could have been put to the question because it called
- 25 for hearsay, because it was unspecific, and numerous other

- 1 grounds, and you chose not to object. Overruled.
- 2 BY MR. LAUER:

- 3 | Q. Did you speak with Uri Landesman about the explosion?
- 4 A. It's possible.
- 5 Q. Do you have any recollection of -- are you able to recall
- 6 any specific conversation with anyone that you can identify
- 7 | with respect to the Black Elk asset?
 - A. Are you talking about the explosion.
- 9 Q. The explosion or the impact the explosion was thought to have.
- 11 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 12 | THE COURT: So and the objection is based on?
- MR. GLUCK: Compound question, vague.
- 14 | THE COURT: Are you objecting -- oh, on compound?
- 15 | Okay. Compound and vague.
- MR. GLUCK: And hearsay.
- 17 | THE COURT: Hearsay I would overrule, only because it
- 18 | will be received for his state of mind but not for the truth of
- 19 | it. But we don't reach that because I agree with you it is
- 20 | compound.
- 21 Put another question.
- 22 | BY MR. LAUER:
- 23 Q. Did anyone send you any information at the time or
- 24 | thereafter describing the impact, if any, that the explosion
- 25 | had on the valuation of Black Elk?

1 A. No.

4

5

6

7

9

2 MR. GLUCK: Objection.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled because it was

intended to elicit a negative. Go ahead.

BY MR. LAUER:

- Q. What did you know about Black Elk at the time?
- A. At which time are you referring to?
- 8 Q. In 2012.
 - A. I didn't know too much about it at all.
- 10 Q. After the explosion what did you know about Black Elk?
- 11 A. I remember in the office they were talking about millions
- 12 and millions of reserves that Black Elk had.
- 13 Q. Do you have any specific recollection of talking to any
- 14 | specific individual at Black -- concerning Black Elk?
- 15 A. If I spoke to somebody, it would have been Uri Landesman,
- and he might have told me this, that there was --
- 17 THE COURT: No. Sustained.
- 18 Q. Okay. What about Golden Gate Oil? Did Mark Nordlicht
- 19 | consult with you before he acquired what came to be known as
- 20 | Golden Gate Oil?
- 21 A. No, he did not.
- 22 | Q. Did you have any idea of the number of reserves, meaning
- 23 how many millions of barrels of oil was in the ground at
- 24 | Golden Gate?
- MR. GLUCK: Objection.

Mcc2Pla3

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- 2 | Q. Did you have any --
- THE COURT: Whoa, whoa.
- 4 MR. LAUER: Sorry.
- 5 THE COURT: Again, because the -- it was intended to
- 6 elicit a negative, the objection is overruled.
- 7 BY MR. LAUER:
- 8 | Q. Did you have any of the details on the fair market value of
- 9 | Golden Gate?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 | Q. Northstar, did you ever hear of the Northstar asset?
- 12 A. What years are you talking about?
- 13 | Q. Any time. Did you ever hear that PPVA had an investment in
- 14 something called Northstar?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Did you know what it was?
- 17 A. Not exactly.
- 18 | Q. Did you know that it involved oil and gas?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Did you know the reserves?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 | Q. Did Mr. Nordlicht consult with you before he created
- 23 | Northstar?
- 24 A. No, he didn't.
- 25 | Q. What about something called Pedevco? Did you know what

- 1 | that investment was?
- 2 A. I wasn't sure what it was, but I think that might have been
- 3 | the investment that David Steinberg and Ezra Beren came to talk
- 4 | to me how to negotiate.
- 5 Q. Do you remember that was also an oil and gas development?
- 6 A. I remember it had something to do with oil and gas.
- 7 | Q. Did anyone consult with you before Pedevco was acquired?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you have any of the details on operations or anything
- 10 of that sort?
- 11 A. No details whatsoever.
- 12 | Q. Another asset that's in this case we haven't heard too much
- 13 about is something called the Michael Goldberg note. Did you
- 14 | know Dr. Michael Goldberg?
- 15 | A. Yes, I did.
- 16 | Q. And what was your understanding of his role within the
- 17 | fund?
- 18 A. My understanding was he was managing an asset called
- 19 Navidea, a public company called Navidea.
- 20 | O. And what is Navidea?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 | Q. Do you know anything about a term sheet or a potential note
- 23 | that was supposed to be exchanged between Dr. Goldberg and
- 24 PPVA?
- 25 | A. No, I don't.

- 1 Q. Did you have anything to do with negotiating it?
- 2 A. I don't recall having anything to do with any note.
- 3 | Q. Then another asset is Desert Hawk. Do you remember what
- 4 Desert Hawk was?
- 5 A. I have no idea what Desert Hawk is.
- 6 Q. I take it Mr. Nordlicht did not consult with you --
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. -- before acquiring it?
- 9 A. No, he didn't consult with me.
- 10 | Q. So just a few wrap-up questions.
- 11 From the time that Platinum was started until you
- 12 | exited, did you have a responsibility to any aspect of PPVA's
- 13 | business?
- 14 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 15 A. I had no responsibility whatsoever.
- 16 | Q. To your knowledge, was anyone at Platinum Management
- 17 | relying on you for anything?
- 18 MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: No, I think he can answer that to his
- 20 knowledge, given the fiduciary claim. Overruled.
- 21 A. No. Nobody was relying on me.
- 22 | Q. Did you accept responsibility for any aspect of PPVA's
- 23 | business?
- 24 A. No, I never accepted any responsibility.
- 25 | Q. Did you affirmatively tell Mark Nordlicht or Murray

- 1 Huberfeld that you did not want to have responsibility or be involved in managing a fund?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. GLUCK: Objection.
- THE COURT: Well, did you tell that to both? each?

 separately? what? The question was compound. The question was did you affirmatively tell Mark Nordlicht or Murray Huberfeld that you did not want to have responsibility or to be involved in managing the fund? You may want to, counsel, rephrase the question.
- 11 MR. LAUER: Okay.
- 12 BY MR. LAUER:
- Q. Did you affirmatively tell Mark Nordlicht that you did not want to have any responsibility at the fund?
- 15 | A. I did.
- 16 Q. Did you tell Murray Huberfeld affirmatively that you did
- 17 | not want to have any responsibility at the fund?
- 18 | A. Yes, I did.
- 19 | Q. Did they accept that?
- 20 A. Yes, they did.
- 21 | Q. Did you have any control over the fund?
- 22 | A. I had no control whatsoever over the fund.
- 23 | Q. Did you have any control over Platinum Management?
- 24 A. No, I did not.
- 25 | Q. Did you have any control over Mark Nordlicht?

- 1 A. No, I did not control Mark Nordlicht.
- 2 | Q. Did you ever come to know that the PPVA valuations were
- 3 | fraudulently overvalued?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Did you ever see data that contradicted Mark Nordlicht's
- 6 | numbers for these assets?
- 7 A. No, I never saw any data whatsoever.
- 8 Q. Turning back to the December 2014, January '15 dinner,
- 9 when -- after Mark Nordlicht told you to go home and stay at
- 10 | yeshiva, did you take your money out of the fund?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 | Q. Did you continue to have confidence in Mark Nordlicht?
- 13 | A. Yes, I did.
- 14 | Q. Now, you had family money of \$40 million in the fund. Did
- 15 | you end up writing that off?
- 16 | A. Yes, I did.
- 17 MR. LAUER: Thank you.
- 18 Pass the witness.
- 19 THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bodner.
- 23 A. Good afternoon.
- 24 | Q. You knew that in 2016 the fund was in dire straits, is that
- 25 | right?

9

- 1 | A. I knew in 2016 that the fund had liquidity problems.
- 2 Q. Did you visit -- you visited Mr. Marcos Katz in Mexico
- 3 twice then, didn't you?
 - A. I visited him once in Mexico and once in Acapulco.
- 5 THE COURT: By "Mexico," you mean Mexico City?
- 6 Because last I checked Acapulco is in Mexico.
- 7 | THE WITNESS: I meant Mexico City.
- 8 Q. How did you fly to Mexico?
 - A. I think we flew on a private plane.
- 10 | Q. Who paid for the private plane?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 | Q. Mr. Parson, please call up PX 954.
- Did you have access to a private plane?
- MR. LAUER: We object. 403, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: I can't see this. If someone wants to
- 16 | blow it up or give me a copy, that would be helpful.
- 17 MR. GLUCK: I can build a foundation.
- 18 | THE COURT: No, I don't even think it passes the test
- 19 of relevance. Sustained.
- 20 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 21 | Q. You signed a release giving up your management agreement
- 22 prior to going to Mexico City and Acapulco, is that correct?
- 23 A. I'm not sure.
- 24 | Q. Why would you go to Mexico City and Acapulco if you had
- 25 | already released your interest in Platinum Management?

- A. You -- could you show me the dates when I signed the release and when I traveled to Mexico City?
- MR. GLUCK: Sure. Bring up the release, Mr. Parson,
- 4 JX 76.
- 5 A. That was March.
- 6 Q. What was your role -- so does this refresh your
- 7 | recollection?
- 8 A. It shows me release. When did I fly to Mexico City and
- 9 | Acapulco?
- 10 Q. Let's call up PX 514. We will seek to admit it in
- 11 | evidence.
- 12 MR. LAUER: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 514 received in evidence)
- 15 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 16 Q. Does this refresh your recollection?
- 17 A. I flew on 5/11 are you telling me? Can you give me the two
- 18 dates? When did I fly? I'm a little confused here.
- 19 Q. Yeah, sure.
- 20 Why don't we bring up PX 516 which -- wait. Hold on.
- 21 We will move this into evidence.
- MS. SHEN: It's in.
- MR. GLUCK: Why don't we bring up 516.
- 24 | A. This says --
- MR. GLUCK: We move this into evidence.

Bodner - Cross

	Mcc2Pla3 Bodner - Cross
1	A. This says I flew on May 16.
2	MR. GLUCK: This door's been wide open.
3	MR. LAUER: Same objection.
4	A. What?
5	THE COURT: Well, no, since the witness insisted on
6	volunteering questions to counsel about when he flew, counsel
7	for plaintiff was simply responding to the questions put by the
8	witness, which waived any objection that might otherwise have
9	been put, so the objection is overruled and 516 is received.
10	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 516 received in evidence)
11	BY MR. GLUCK:
12	Q. You flew to Mexico on May 16, didn't you?
13	A. Right. And when was the release given?
14	Q. March.
15	A. March. So you
16	THE COURT: Excuse me. Can someone put up the
17	release, first page of the release, which is Joint Exhibit 74?
18	MR. GLUCK: It's up on the screen.
19	A. So what was the question.
20	THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm about to put a question.
21	It states, does it not, "This release agreement is made
22	effective as of this 20th day of March 2016." Do you see that?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	THE COURT: That doesn't mean, does it, that that was

the actual date it was signed, does it? It could have been

this.

1	signed earlier or later or whatever, right?
2	THE WITNESS: Could have been. I don't know.
3	THE COURT: So if either counsel wants to present the
4	witness with any evidence of the date of actual signing, I will
5	admit it, but I don't think it shows when the agreement was
6	signed.
7	MR. GLUCK: Sure. I think we can go to the last page
8	and then we will call up the defendant's exhibit, last page,
9	please, or second to last page.
10	THE COURT: What does it show?
11	MR. GLUCK: Delivered and executed the agreement.
12	(Pause)
13	THE COURT: Near as I can tell—correct me if I am
14	wrong—the dates of the signatures are not shown anywhere. But
15	there was an e-mail earlier when Mr. Nordlicht is pressing
16	Mr. Huberfeld for his signature. Why don't we put that back up
17	on the screen for a minute.
18	MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, if you will, in a moment,
19	please call up the Nordlicht exhibit.
20	THE COURT: So all we know from this that wasn't
21	what I had in mind, but at least we know that the document was
22	being exchanged on March 2.
23	All right. Anyway, I think, counsel, you should
24	continue with your examination. I don't want to get lost on
2.	41.4.

Mcc2Pla3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bodner - Cross

Having looked at these documents, is it your best recollection that you had signed the release before you went to Mexico?

THE WITNESS: Looking at the documents, it seems like that.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. GLUCK:

- Q. You went to Mexico on behalf of Platinum Management, didn't you?
- I went on behalf of, if I'm not mistaken, it was a call that I have got from Yaacov Neeman who told me that Marcos Katz would like to talk to you.
 - Q. You made representations concerning stability of Platinum Management in Mexico, didn't you?
 - A. As stated before, it was two meetings. There was a meeting in Mexico and there was a meeting in Acapulco. In Acapulco, I made statements. Marcos Katz was looking to redeem his money. There was no liquidity whatsoever in the fund. There was not even \$5 in the checking account to my recollection. And Marcos Katz was looking to take out his \$15 million so Yaacov Neeman called me up and said I should please go to this meeting. He is going to meet me there. He came in from Israel, and he was going to meet me there. Because he understood when a fund has no money, you just can't get money when there is no money there, and also you can't take money ahead of other investors.

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

- So we met all together at Acapulco, and I tried to explain it to Mr. Katz. All I head heard from Mr. Katz was: I want my money. And I tried to explain to him, not once, twice.
 - Q. The fund didn't have \$5, but it could fly everyone down to Acapulco?
 - A. I don't know who paid for that. It might have been paid personally by one of the people in Platinum Management. I don't recall. It could be we all paid for it.
 - MR. GLUCK: Now we would like to move Plaintiff 954 into evidence. Please call it up.
- 11 MR. LAUER: We object. Same objection as before.
- 12 MR. GLUCK: For the proposition Platinum Management --
- 13 THE COURT: Yeah, I understand. Received.
- 14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 954 received in evidence)
- 15 BY MR. GLUCK:
- Q. You consulted Mr. Levy about positions at the fund in advance of the meeting, didn't you?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Please call up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 423.
 - A. Do you have a question on this e-mail?
- Q. You consulted Mr. Levy concerning the positions of the fund, didn't you?
- 23 A. That's not what I am reading here.
- 24 THE COURT: No, no, just the -- I think he's already
 25 answered that question. The question before was: "You

Bodner - Cross

consulted Mr. Levy about positions at the fund in advance of 1 2 the meeting, didn't you? 3 "Answer: No, I did not." 4 MR. GLUCK: We would like to move Plaintiffs' Exhibit 423 into evidence. 5 6 MR. LAUER: No objection. 7 THE COURT: Received. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 423 received in evidence) 8 9 BY MR. GLUCK: 10 Mr. Katz trusted you to oversee his investment, didn't he? 11 Α. No. 12 Ο. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 372. 13 THE COURT: What is the question. 14 Isn't Mr. Katz saying he trusted you here? Q. I don't think Mr. Katz is pointing towards me. 15 Α. Mr. Katz had looked at me as a talmudical scholar, and he used to call 16 17 me a batlen. A batlen in Hebrew means somebody who doesn't do 18 anything and doesn't understand. He trusted Murray Huberfeld, 19 who was in constant contact with him on a monthly basis. 20 Whenever he came to New York he would meet with Murray. I had 21 very, very infrequent meetings with him. 22 MR. GLUCK: Move to strike. 23 THE COURT: No, I think that was --24 Α. It's correct.

THE COURT: -- responsive. Overruled.

1 BY MR. GLUCK:

- 2 Q. All right. You testified for Mr. Lauer that the specific
- 3 | liability in question when the release was being negotiated and
- 4 you asked for an indemnity was that you might have a tax issue?
- 5 | A. A gift tax issue.
- 6 Q. A gift tax issue.
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Please call up PX 370. It is already in evidence.
- 9 Mark Nordlicht was saying that he couldn't personally
 10 be responsible for your and Mr. Huberfeld's misconduct, because
 11 he thought there was a gift tax issue.
- 12 A. I have no idea what Mark Nordlicht was thinking.
- 13 | Q. That's your lawyer, Mr. Gabriel Hertzberg, isn't it?
- 14 A. He is sitting right there. You could talk to him.
- 15 Q. It says Katz, Bodner, and Huberfeld release?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 | Q. Gift tax issue.
- 18 | A. That's what I went to him for, for my accountant told me
- 19 | that my gifting, the management, you are going to get charged
- 20 | tax. And I told Mark, I'm happy to do it, but I'm not
- 21 | interested in having a tax on a \$50 million gift or whatever it
- 22 | was worth plus the crude that I didn't take. What Mark was
- 23 | thinking about misconduct, I have no idea. This was not
- 24 discussed with me.
- 25 You have another question?

Mcc2Pla3

Bodner - Cross

- Do you have anything further for your answer? 1
 - No, I don't have anything further --Α.
- 3 THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa.
- 4 BY MR. GLUCK:

2

8

- 5 Q. Plaintiff Exhibit 368. Excuse me 366.
- 6 You discussed this purported gift tax liability with
- 7 Mr. Katz as well or was there something else?
 - A. Let me read the document a minute.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm failing to see the 10 relevance of the last two exhibits that were -- they are in 11 evidence, but they are not addressed to this witness nor are
- 12 they from this witness, so I'm not quite sure why they are
- 13 being shown to the jury. So take it down. Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 15 Q. You testified that you had about 40 million in the fund at
- 16 the time it went down?
- 17 That's my recollection. I said between 37 million and
- 18 above.
- 19 PPVA and PPCO, is that right?
- 20 Α. Correct.
- 21 Other than the 10 million you seeded, do you have any
- 22 recollection of specific additional investments that weren't
- 23 incentive fee LP interests or incentive fees that you kept in
- 24 the fund?
- 25 Yes. Α.

- Q. What are those?
- 2 A. I had \$17 million of my foundation in the fund to my
- 3 recollection. I had, if I'm not mistaken, either a million 250
- 4 or two and a half million for my children in the fund. Then I
- 5 | had also -- what was it, 17, 27, 30, oh, and then I had for an
- 6 endowment fund that I was responsible for was over \$3 million.
- 7 And then I had -- it takes me to 33. And then I think I had
- 8 some other monies between me and my wife in the fund.
- 9 | Q. PPVA or PPCO?
- 10 A. Oh, PPLO, there was another fund called PPLO, where I think
- 11 | I had another \$3 million. Altogether in all the three funds, I
- 12 | had between 37 and \$40 million.
- 13 | Q. Did you take out roughly 100 million in fees?
- 14 A. Not that I recall.
- 15 | Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Nordlicht to the
- 16 effect that you took out 100 million in fees?
- 17 | A. Not that I can recall.
- 18 Q. Can I show you a document to refresh your recollection?
- 19 A. Yes, you could.
- 20 Q. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 424.
- 21 My question is, did you have any conversations with
- 22 Mr. Nordlicht that.
- 23 (Court reporter confers)
- 24 | Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Nordlicht to the
- 25 effect that you had taken out 100 million from the fund

```
Mcc2Pla3
                                  Bodner - Cross
      yourself?
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Counsel, come to the sidebar.
 3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

25

will continue thereafter.

Bodner - Cross

1 (At the sidebar) 2 THE COURT: So this again appears to be a document 3 from one person to another person, neither of whom is 4 Mr. Bodner, correct? 5 MR. GLUCK: That is correct. 6 THE COURT: I don't see how you get to show a witness 7 something -- at the moment, and I think it's really stretching it. This might give rise to an inference upon an inference 8 9 upon an inference that he had such a conversation, but on its 10 face it doesn't remotely show that. And in any event, it is at 11 best something for summation, not for cross-examination. Now, I understand that defense counsel is in his 12 13 potted plant mode of not objecting, but the Court has a 14 responsibility to make sure that the jury is not misled. 15 don't want anymore documents shown to the jury, whether they are in evidence or not, that are not documents that either (a) 16 17 are from Mr. Bodner, (b) are sent to Mr. Bodner, or (c) on 18 their face specifically refer to a conversation with Mr. Bodner. Okay? 19 20 MR. GLUCK: If the secretaries are in? 21 THE COURT: Pardon me. 22 MR. GLUCK: Albanese and --23 THE COURT: I understand your point but, anyway, I

think maybe we should take a lunch break at this point and we

```
Mcc2Pla3
                                 Bodner - Cross
1
                MR. GLUCK: Sure.
 2
                MR. LAUER: Thank you, your Honor.
3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court) THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, counsel have forced me to give you your lunch break five minutes early. I protested vehemently, but they just overruled me with the force of their argument. So we will take an hour and five minutes and resume at 2:00. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Bodner, you can step down. (Continued on next page)

(Jury not present) THE COURT: I'm going to give plaintiffs' counsel pretty much whatever time he wants on cross-examination. This is the most critical witness. But if you could give me a reasonably good estimate after lunch of how much it will be, just so we can plan the rest of the schedule. Very good. We will see you in an hour and five minutes. (Luncheon recess) (Continued on next page)

MCCCpla4 Bodner - Cross

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 2:08 p.m. 3 (Jury not present) THE COURT: Plaintiffs' counsel was going to give me a 4 5 ballpark around about how much. 6 MR. GLUCK: Ballpark is around 100 minutes, except for 7 one issue. Towards the end of the examination, I'll ask for a sidebar regarding certain summary exhibits of certain meetings 8 9 that I understand is contested, and if we don't get those in, I 10 do have quite a lot of documents. 11 THE COURT: What intimidation. Anyway, let's bring in 12 the jury. 13 I will get everyone a draft charge either tonight or 14 early tomorrow, and assuming I get it to you tonight, we'll 15 have a charging conference at the end of the day tomorrow. If I don't get it to you tomorrow, we'll figure out whether we 16 17 want to do it tomorrow or Wednesday. 18 (Continued on next page) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

MCCCpla4 Bodner - Cross

1 (Jury present)

2 | THE COURT: Please be seated.

3 Counsel.

- 4 BY MR. GLUCK:
- Q. Mr. Bodner, Mr. Nordlicht was routinely asking you to raise
- 6 money, was he not?
- 7 A. It wasn't routinely. He asked me once in a while when he
- 8 was in an investment situation.
- 9 Q. You stated earlier that he was always in need of you to
- 10 | raise investors for him, didn't you?
- 11 A. Yes, but I think he knew that I wasn't raising money.
- 12 Q. Murray Huberfeld was your partner for about 25 years?
- 13 A. More like 30 years, 30-something years.
- 14 | Q. You talk to him every day or almost every day?
- 15 A. I talked to him often.
- 16 | Q. Almost all the time; right?
- 17 | A. Till around 2013.
- 18 | Q. You continued to correspond with him and talk to him after
- 19 | 2013, didn't you?
- 20 A. But not often.
- 21 | Q. Not often?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | O. You solicited a number of investors for PPVA?
- 24 A. No, I did not.
- MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, PX 426.

MCCCpla4 Bodner - Cross

- I seek to move this in evidence. 1
- 2 Angela Albanese was your secretary; is that correct? Q.
- 3 Yes, she was, and she was Murray's secretary. Α.
- She would print and send emails on your behalf? 4 Q.
- 5 Yes, she would. Α.
- 6 Richard Geyser and Jenna Boyle, they're investors in PPVA,
- 7 aren't they?
- To my recollection, Richard Geyser worked at PPVA to raise 8
- 9 money for them. I'm not sure he was an investor.
- 10 Q. You pitched them on behalf of PPVA, which is issue in this
- 11 case, and then also PPLO which I believe is the other fund you
- 12 mentioned; is that right?
- 13 I have no recollection. I don't know who Jenna Boyle and I Α.
- 14 have no recollection of pitching any of them.
- 15 MR. GLUCK: Please go to the first page, Mr. Parson.
- 16 You would provide these investors with monthly letters;
- 17 right?

- Which investors are you talking about?
- 19 Investors that you pitched. Q.
- 20 I did not pitch investors for the fund. Α.
- 21 Mr. Geyser was a PPVA portfolio manager; right? Q.
- 22 To my recollection, Mr. Geyser was -- worked for PPVA to
- 23 bring money in. I don't remember him being a portfolio
- 24 manager.
- 25 You were well aware that PPVA had invested in Black Elk as

- 1 | far back as 2010; right?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 476.
- 4 I'm sorry. 426, I move into evidence.
- 5 MR. LAUER: 401.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, I think 401 is very liberally
- 7 construed. Overruled. Received.
- 8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 426 received in evidence)
- 9 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 476,
- 10 please.
- 11 Seek to admit this into evidence.
- MR. LAUER: No connection.
- 13 MR. GLUCK: It's from Mr. Huberfeld to Ms. Albanese to
- 14 Mr. Bodner.
- 15 | THE COURT: Well, right now, no foundation has been
- 16 | laid whatsoever. If you want to lay a foundation, then I'll
- 17 consider it.
- 18 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 19 Q. MA2500, that's Mr. Huberfeld's email address, isn't it,
- 20 Mr. Bodner?
- 21 A. I remember the email address Murray Huberfeld, not MA2500.
- 22 | Q. This is one of Huberfeld's email addresses, isn't it,
- 23 Mr. Bodner?
- 24 A. It's possible. I don't recall.
- 25 | Q. He's sending you summaries of the Black Elk and China

- 1 | Horizon investments in 2010; right?
- 2 A. Could be sending it to Angela to print it out for somebody.
- 3 | I don't know. I don't have any recollection of seeing this
- 4 document.
- 5 Q. He's sending it to both Ms. Albanese's email addresses,
- 6 both at Centurion and at Platinum?
- 7 A. Yes. I have no clue what he was doing.
- 8 MR. GLUCK: We seek to move this into evidence.
- 9 MR. LAUER: Same objection.
- 10 | THE COURT: Do you know who Greg Safiro was?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
- 12 | THE COURT: Do I understand you don't know who the
- 13 | address, MA2500, who that belongs to?
- 14 THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
- 15 | THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- MR. GLUCK: PX 478, please.
- 17 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 18 Q. Do you know who Mr. Martin Stern is?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. Who is he?
- 21 A. Martin Stern is my brother-in-law.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Martin Stern, an investor in Black Elk equity?
- 23 A. This, I don't know if he invested in Black Elk equity.
- Q. BEE refers to Black Elk equity, doesn't it?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 THE COURT: Are you offering this?
- I'm about to build a foundation. 2 MR. GLUCK:
- 3 THE COURT: Very good.
- 4 BEE, you were asked a question earlier about the email
- 5 regarding your anxiousness over the Black Elk investors.
 - Do you recall BEE as Black Elk equity?
- 7 Α. Yes.

- So Martin Stern is your brother-in-law and he invested in 8
- 9 Black Elk equity; right?
- 10 Α. It seems like that from the email.
- 11 0. And you brought him in?
- 12 Α. No.
- 13 Who brought in your brother-in-law, other than you? 0.
- 14 A. Could have been Murray Huberfeld. It could have been
- 15 somebody else. Richard Geyser knew a lot of the people that I
- I have no idea. 16
- 17 MR. GLUCK: And now if you go, Mr. Parson, to the top,
- 18 top email.
- And you were consulted on the rollover or repo of 19
- 20 Mr. Stern's investment in Black Elk equity, weren't you?
- I don't recall this at all. 21
- 22 MR. GLUCK: This email I seek to move into evidence.
- 23 MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 Now, as building a little more foundation, it says to

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1450

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, one of them refers to Centurion. She also worked for Mr. Huberfeld, yes?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: So something sent to the Centurion address presumably had something even who was sent from Mr. Huberfeld was simply an exchange related to the two of them and Huberfeld as far as one can ascertain; is that fair?

THE WITNESS: That's fair to ascertain.

Also, my email that she used for me was BodnerAng. In

- 2010, I don't recall her using these emails. If something was 1 2 sent to me, it was sent to BodnerAng.
- 3 THE COURT: I'm still sustaining the objection.
- 4 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 430,
- 5 please.

- BY MR. GLUCK: 6
 - What was Mr. Small's role at PPVA?
- 8 I think he was a portfolio manager.
- 9 Was he in charge of the Black Elk investment? 0.
- 10 I think he worked together with David Levy. I'm not sure 11 exactly on what he worked.
- 12 Was he convicted of fraud in connection with the Black Elk 13 subordination?
- 14 Α. That's what I read in the newspapers.
- 15 MR. GLUCK: Now go to the front page, please.
- 16 See how it says on the subject matter, conference room, on
- 17 the "Re:" line, "conference."
- 18 A. Could you light it up.
- 19 MR. GLUCK: Could you please, Mr. Parson, line up one 20 of the subject lines.
- 21 Do you see on the "Re:" line, "confirm."
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 You testified earlier that there was a fourth floor and
- then there was a floor --24
- 25 54th. Α.

- Q. 54th floor. The conference rooms for Platinum they were on the 54th floor; right?
- A. The conference room, Platinum on the 4th had its own

 conference room also. As a matter of fact, I think they had

 two conference rooms.
- Q. If you were to take a meeting, which conference room would you go to?
 - A. Usually, I would use the 54th floor.
- 9 Q. That's where you sat.
- 10 Do you have a recollection of who Shlomo Kalish is?
- 11 A. It could have been a charity that David Levy wanted me to
- meet with this gentleman. Either it could have been a charity
- or a deal that he wanted me to see.
- 14 | Q. That is something that you would have been doing with
- 15 Daniel small?

- 16 | A. I have no idea if Daniel Small was that at this meeting.
- 17 | Q. Well, who was Karen Lau?
- 18 | A. Karen Lau was Mark Nordlicht's secretary.
- 19 Q. Who is Rene Trotter?
- 20 A. Her, I don't know.
- 21 | Q. Excuse me?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 | Q. This is an investor meeting; right?
- 24 A. This is an investor meeting?
- 25 Q. Correct.

- Not that I know of. 1 Α.
- 2 MR. GLUCK: Plaintiffs' Exhibit 479, please.
- 3 Sorry. We would like to admit this into evidence, 4 your Honor.
 - It's in evidence.
 - MR. LAUER: Objection. No connection.
- 7 MR. GLUCK: It's in evidence.
- Plaintiffs' Exhibit 479, please. 8
- 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Wait a minute. So is 479 in
- 10 evidence or not?
- 11 MR. GLUCK: 430, which is presently on the screen, is
- 12 in evidence.
- 13 THE COURT: Well, is that in evidence or not?
- 14 MR. GLUCK: It is.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay. I thought you just --
- MR. GLUCK: I made a mistake as to whether it was in 16
- 17 evidence.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
- MR. GLUCK: Now please call up 479, Mr. Parson, 19
- 20 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 479.
- 21 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 22 Q. Let me ask you, to lay some foundation, this is already in
- 23 evidence.
- 24 Mr. Bernie Fuchs, he was an investor in PPVA?
- 25 Α. Yes, he was.

MCCCpla4 Bodner - Cross

- 1 | Q. Who brought him in?
- 2 A. Abe Biderman.
- 3 Q. How much did he invest in PPVA?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 | Q. You told him that PPVA was your fund; right?
- 6 A. Never told him such a thing.
- 7 | Q. You told him that you were the principal of the fund;
- 8 | right?
- 9 A. Never told him such a thing.
- 10 Q. You told him that you would look after his investment;
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. I never told him such a thing.
- MR. LAUER: We object to the document on the screen.
- 14 No connection.
- MR. GLUCK: I'm just about to build a foundation.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- 17 | Q. Dovid, that's you; right?
- 18 A. It's possible it's me.
- 19 | Q. And Mr. Landesman, he's a Platinum Management employee;
- 20 | right?
- 21 A. Mr. Landesman was the president of Platinum Management.
- 22 | Q. And he was in charge of investor relations?
- 23 A. And he was in charge, he did investor relations.
- 24 | Q. And he had to suggest to you to give Bernie Fuchs, a major
- 25 | investor, about a Black Elk update?

- Objection. It's not what it says. 1 MR. LAUER:
- I'll read what it says. 2 MR. GLUCK:
- 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. He was just asking him a
- 4 question. I don't know that he was tying to the exhibit or
- 5 not, it was an independent question. But put another --
- 6 MR. GLUCK: I'll put another question.
- 7 Q. Mr. Landesman suggested to you that Platinum give Bernie
- Fuchs, a Platinum investor, a Black Elk update; right? 8
- 9 I do not remember that, having a discussion with Bernie
- 10 Fuchs a Black Elk update.
- 11 And you knew that Black Elk had just exploded; right?
- 12 It says that this was sent December 11th. I don't remember
- 13 exactly the date for the explosion.
- 14 Q. You knew that Black Elk had just exploded on November 15th;
- right? 15
- 16 I knew it exploded, yes. Α.
- 17 And --Ο.
- I would think Bernie also found out about it then because 18
- it was in all the newspapers. 19
- 20 Q. And Mr. Landesman needed to get your comfort with him
- 21 providing a Black Elk update to major investor Bernie Fuchs?
- 22 I don't remember such, having a discussion like this with
- 23 Bernie Landesman at all.
- 24 THE COURT: Are you denying that it occurred or are
- 25 you simply saying you don't have a memory one way or the other?

1456

THE WITNESS: I don't have a memory of Bernie Landesman having to ask me to give Bernie Fuchs an update of Black Elk.

THE COURT: So that the jury can evaluate that, what I'm unclear is, are you saying I don't have the memory one way or the other, it could have happened, but I don't know or are you saying my best memory is it did not happen?

THE WITNESS: My best memory that something like this didn't happen because --

THE COURT: Okay. You answered.

BY MR. GLUCK:

MCCCpla4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And the reason Mr. Landesman would have needed to check with you before giving major investor Bernie Fuchs a Black Elk update was because PPVA had so much exposure to Black Elk, and you knew that, too; right?
- A. No, I didn't think that PPVA had any exposure with Black Elk. I was told after the blowup that there's a tremendous amount of reserves and it was unfortunate somebody died, and nothing changed in the company itself, except one platform that blew up. 800, that's what I was told.
- You didn't think that PPVA had any exposure to Black Elk?
- I think they had a legal exposure maybe from the family suing for the person that was killed. More than that, I didn't know that they had any exposure in the company itself.

MR. GLUCK: Plaintiffs' Exhibit 417.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. LAUER:

You knew that a number of investors, including your brother-in-law, had invested in Black Elk; right?

Objection.

THE COURT: Well, the question is you knew that a number of investors, including your brother-in-law, had invested in Black Elk; right?

What's the ground of the objection?

MR. LAUER: There's no foundation. It hasn't been established that he knew.

THE COURT: He's asking him a question.

MR. LAUER: All right.

THE COURT: This question stands alone regardless of anything on the screen.

So, did you know that a number of investors, including your brother-in-law, had invested in Black Elk?

THE WITNESS: We knew a number of investors invested in Black Elk. I was very surprised when I seen the email that spoke about my brother-in-law. Him, I did not know that he invested in Black Elk.

BY MR. GLUCK:

- Your brother-in-law, where does he live? Q.
- 22 Today, he lives in Lakewood, New Jersey. Α.
- 23 Then? 0.
- 24 I think then, he lived in Monsey, New York. Α.
- 25 Not in Chicago?

7

9

1458

1 A. No, he did not live in Chicago. Maybe that's a Martin

Stern from Chicago, not my brother-in-law.

- 3 MR. GLUCK: Let's call up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 429.
- Q. You attended investor pitch meetings with Mr. Landesman in Chicago as a blitz; right?
- 6 A. I attended meetings for what?
 - Q. You attended investor pitch meetings in Chicago with
- 8 Mr. Landesman; right?
 - A. I have no recall about that.
- 10 | O. Who's Bob Collins?
- 11 A. Bob Collins owned seats on the exchange. As I said earlier
- 12 | in my testimony, I was a trader on the exchange of the
- 13 Chicago -- the name of his companies was Collins, and I used to
- 14 have an account there and trade there, and I was friendly with
- 15 | Bob Collins. I do not remember meeting him in a blitz meeting
- 16 | in Chicago about Platinum. I used to speak to him on the phone
- 17 and when he came to New York, once in a while -- I think maybe
- 18 once or twice, we got together to talk about trading, not about
- 19 | Platinum.
- 20 | O. You don't remember?
- 21 A. No, I do not remember at all meeting him at a meeting for
- 22 | Platinum.
- 23 MR. GLUCK: Move to admit this into evidence.
- 24 MR. LAUER: Objection. Lack of connection.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

7

8

9

10

11

25

Bodner - Cross

- 1 MR. GLUCK: PX 477, Mr. Parson.
 - Who is Mr. Aaron Wolfson? Q.
- Mr. Aaron Wolfson is -- how should I describe him? He's a 3 4 wealthy individual, very charitable. He comes from a family
- 5 where I knew his father and his brother.
- What is your connection to Mr. Aaron Wolfson? 6
 - We did a lot of charity together. Also, when Centurion was started, we met with his father, myself and Mr. Huberfeld, and his father wanted to seat the fund.
 - Banyan, that's one of those one-off projects; right? Ο. MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, could you highlight Banyan.
- 12 Banyan was one of the one-off projects?
- 13 I think -- I don't remember. Maybe you could -- I don't Α. 14 remember exactly what Banyan was. Could you tell me a little 15 bit about it?
- Did Uri Landesman make you call Aaron Wolfson on Banyan and 16 17 Black Elk in 2012?
- 18 A. It's possible that Uri Landesman asked me to call Aaron Wolfson, since I was very friendly with him, to set up a 19 20 meeting for himself with Aaron Wolfson. Aaron Wolfson was a 21 very, very busy person. He was managing over --
- 22 THE COURT: You've finished your answer.
- 23 After the Black Elk explosion, it was an all-hands-on-deck 24 situation at Platinum, wasn't it?
 - What do you mean by hands on deck?

- 1 | Q. Do you understand what all hands on deck means?
- 2 | A. No, I don't.
- 3 Q. After the Black Elk explosion, it was a time of urgency and
- 4 | crisis at Platinum, wasn't it?
- 5 | A. Yes.

- 6 Q. And you and the other Platinum Partners formulated a plan
- 7 | to create the Black Elk Opportunities Fund; is that right?
- 8 A. That's not true.
 - MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 10 A. That's not true.
- 11 MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 12 | THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. The answer
- 13 has been given.
- 14 Q. After the Black Elk explosion, the Platinum Partners
- 15 created Black Elk Opportunities Fund?
- 16 A. I don't know who created Black Elk Opportunities Fund. I
- 17 | wasn't -- they had a meeting, I wasn't spoken to about creation
- 18 of a fund like this. Afterwards, I found out that they were
- 19 | raising money for Black Elk. How, where, and when, I wasn't
- 20 aware of it.
- 21 | Q. You weren't aware ahead of time?
- 22 | A. No, I didn't. Ahead of time meaning when? When it was
- 23 created? No.
- MR. GLUCK: Move 477 into evidence, please.
- MR. LAUER: No connection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bodner - Cross

THE COURT: So not only is there no meaningful connection to the witness, and that would be sufficient to sustain the objection, but also it includes a great deal of hearsay, and that's a further ground for the objection. also it is filled with earlier messages that seem to have no connection, even on their face, let alone remotely, with any issue relating to this witness or this case.

So, on all those grounds and about a dozen others, the objection is sustained.

- Q. You, Mr. Bodner, received fees from the Black Elk Opportunities Fund, didn't you?
- I don't recall. I received fees in any -- that was the deal when we structured Platinum, I was going to get fees from any fund that has to do with Platinum.
 - So you don't recall receiving fees from the Black Elk Opportunities Fund, but you do recall there being a general deal in relation to you receiving fees of anything that has to do with Platinum?
 - I remember when we structured the deal with Mark Nordlicht and Murray Huberfeld way back, we were partners in any hedge fund fees that would come in.
 - MR. GLUCK: On this one, I think it's fair ground to refresh your recollection. So could you call PX 497, Mr. Parson.
- My only question is, did you receive \$25,147.42 from

Bodner - Cross

Black Elk Opportunities Fund?

MR. LAUER: Objection. There's no connection.

THE COURT: He's not offering the exhibit. The question was, having looked at this exhibit, which is not in evidence, does that refresh your recollection that you received, we'll start with monies in connection with Black Elk Opportunities Fund?

THE WITNESS: Definitely looks like that from the document.

THE COURT: And if I understand, while you don't have a specific memory with respect to that particular fund, you did have an understanding that, in connection with the Black Elk investment, you would receive monies from related entities, yes?

THE WITNESS: My understanding was when we made the deal that any fund, hedge fund that's formed by Mark Nordlicht, either Platinum Credit Fund, Platinum Value Fund, Platinum Liquid Fund, those three funds, I remember. PPLO, PPCA. My understanding was at the end of the year, Joe SanFilippo came up to my office and he would show me how much fees I made on each fund. This, I don't remember in particular, but the document says --

THE COURT: The document is not in evidence.

But there is nothing inconsistent with your overall arrangement and such a distribution being made?

Bodner - Cross MCCCpla4

- 1 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- MR. GLUCK: You can take that down now, Mr. Parson. 2
- 3 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 4 Q. You told Mr. Fuchs to go out and solicit investors for the
- 5 Black Elk Opportunities Fund, didn't you?
- 6 Totally false. Α.
- 7 Q. You, yourself, solicited investors for the Black Elk
- Opportunities Fund, didn't you? 8
- 9 A. No, I didn't.
- 10 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, please call up
- Plaintiffs' Exhibit 482. 11
- Who are Bob and Allen Cohen? 12
- 13 A. Bob and Allen Cohen are two people that I know. They own
- 14 an optical chain. It looks like here, like the other emails
- 15 you showed me, there's a list of names that I should be going
- to to raise money for Black Elk. 16
- 17 Sol Werdiger? Q.
- A friend of mine. 18 Α.
- Ezra Erani? 19 Q.
- 20 Another acquaintance. Α.
- 21 Q. Adam Sokol?
- 22 Α. Do not know who he is.
- 23 0. Tom Deutch?
- 24 Do not know him. Α.
- 25 Harari? Q.

- 1 A. I know who he is, but he was a friend of Murray Huberfeld.
- 2 | Q. Martin Stern?
- 3 A. I don't know if it's my brother-in-law. It doesn't make
- 4 sense because my brother-in-law was not a rich man. I don't
- 5 know why they would of solicit him.
- 6 Q. Steven Steinheimer?
- 7 A. I have no idea.
- 8 | Q. Jonathan Mayer?
- 9 A. Jonathan Mayer is a brother-in-law of mine.
- 10 | Q. You were asked to contact the persons highlighted in
- 11 | yellow, weren't you?
- 12 A. Not Adam Sokol.
- 13 | Q. Not Adam Sokol. You did contact the persons highlighted in
- 14 | yellow to pitch them on the Black Elk Opportunities Fund?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 | Q. You deny it?
- 17 | A. I deny it 100 percent. I did not contact anybody to put
- 18 money into Black Elk.
- 19 MR. GLUCK: All this we'll move into evidence.
- 20 MR. LAUER: We object.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, I think this one comes in under the
- 22 | coconspirator exception. So it will be received.
- 23 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit 482 received in evidence)
- MR. GLUCK: You can take that down, Mr. Parson.
- 25 Q. Now, after the Black Elk Opportunities Fund had been

- raised, you received data and information suggesting that 1
- PPVA's common equity in Black Elk was near or actually 2
- 3 worthless, didn't vou?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, please call up PX 554.
- 6 This we seek to admit into evidence or it may already
- 7 be?
- It's already in. 8 MS. SHEN:
- 9 So who is Seaport? Ο.
- 10 Α. I have no idea.
- You don't know their investment advisor? 11
- 12 Α. No, I don't.
- 13 You received their report for Black Elk from Mr. David 0.
- 14 Steinberg, didn't you?
- 15 Α. No.
- Sent to Ms. Albanese? 16 Ο.
- 17 A. As I said before, Ms. Albanese was Murray's and my
- 18 secretary. And my email was BodnerAng.com. It was not
- Angela@Platinum. So this could have been received to Murray. 19
- 20 Uri Landesman was in the office next to me. It's possible she
- 21 received it to give to Uri Landesman. I have no idea. I do
- 22 not know who this person is. I know David Steinberg.
- 23 I'm glad you brought up that BodnerAng@gmail.com email
- 24 When was that in use? address.
- 25 I think that was in use when we started.

- 1 | Q. You think that was in use when you started?
- A. Or later on. I don't remember. That was the email I remember her using with me a lot.
- Q. Ms. Albanese set up that email address so that you could engage in correspondence off the Platinum server once the SEC started spending a few months in the office, didn't she?

MR. LAUER: Objection.

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- MR. LAUER: Wait.
- 10 THE COURT: There's an objection. I have to rule.
- 11 Sustained.
- Q. You don't know that Ms. Albanese set up the BodnerAng
 gmail.com --
- 14 THE COURT: The problem with the question is counsel
 15 is, in effect, testifying. If you want to inquire as to the
- 17 | Q. The BodnerAng@gmail.com was set up after 2014, wasn't it?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. You don't know why it was set up?

date, that's fine, but not what you're --

- A. No. I remember that was the email that I used to tell
 people if they wanted to send me an email, they should send it
- 22 to.

7

8

9

- 23 | Q. You didn't instruct Ms. Albanese to set up an email off the
- 24 | Platinum server so your Platinum-related correspondence
- 25 | couldn't be seen?

Bodner - Cross

- A. No, absolutely not.
- 2 | Q. So you deny receiving this Seaport report?
- 3 A. I don't remember receiving it.
- 4 | Q. I think you testified that you would have partner meetings
- 5 | for Platinum about four times a year, once a quarter?
- 6 A. Usually three, four times a year.
- 7 Q. At those partner meetings, you were provided with detailed
- 8 | information on all of Platinum's positions; right?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. And you, in fact, instructed Mr. Nordlicht and others to
- 11 | prepare detailed summaries of Platinum's positions so that you
- 12 | could hear them at the meeting; right?
- 13 A. I did not instruct Mr. Nordlicht to prepare --
- 14 Mr. Nordlicht used to come with a small piece of paper with the
- 15 | positions. He never showed us. To my recollection, I never
- 16 saw any backups on any of these positions. The meetings were
- 17 | focused on liquidity and liquidity only, how much money he
- 18 needs and what pressure he's under if he doesn't get the money.
- MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, please bring up PX 377, which
- 20 | is already in evidence.
- 21 \parallel Q. Is it your testimony that two copies of positions for PPCO
- 22 | and PPVA, quote-unquote, was a handwritten piece of paper that
- 23 you were asking Mr. Nordlicht to draft?
- 24 | A. It's possible. I don't remember or I don't see anything
- 25 | else showing that I asked him to bring positions or copies of

Bodner - Cross

positions. There must have been a special reason — if I did tell him to do this, there must have been a special reason in 2013, which I can't remember why I would ask him to bring copies of positions. That's not how the meetings worked. The meetings worked, they were a dinner, he brought a small little scrap paper together, on it he wrote this position, this position, this position, we have 10 positions here, this is how much money we need and he's under tremendous pressure to meet withdrawals and we must bring money in. That was the crux of every meeting we had.

- Q. Did you find those pieces of paper to be useful?
- 12 A. He didn't give me the pieces of paper. He held it by him
 13 and read off it.
 - Q. Why would you be reminding him to bring those position statements --
 - A. It says two copies, there must have been a reason. I don't remember in 2013 why I would ask him to bring two copies of positions. I never asked him anytime else if this is correct, I don't remember why I would ask him in 2013 to bring them.

 Maybe Uri needed it for marketing, maybe somebody needed it. I have no idea.
 - MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, PX 523, please.
- 23 We seek to move this into evidence.
- 24 | Q. And that's not a BodnerAng Gmail address at the top, is it?
 - A. No, that's another email that she had for me. Rendob is

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bodner - Cross

Bodner is backwards. 180 -- I think that was the email -- if 1 2 she had to send me an email, I think that's where she sent it 3 to.

- Q. Mr. Landesman is asking for Fuchs' feedback on fairly detailed positions so that he, in turn, can be prepared to present the partners dinner next week, isn't it?
- I think he was asking Mr. Fuchs about China Horizon.
- But Mr. Landesman was trying to set up for a partners dinner the next week, wasn't he?
 - I think Shaw listened to the 1 o'clock call today is asking about an investors call. I'm just telling you the way I'm reading it. And if you are able, would like your feedback meaning Bernie Fuchs' feedback about the call. And then he -the second thing he wrote was he's trying to set up a partners dinner for next week asking Mr. Fuchs if he'll be available.
 - And Mr. Landesman was so interested in making sure that you knew that he had asked Mr. Fuchs to gather this information that he actually sent it to Ms. Albanese, who then sent it to your -- well, that's your personal email address, isn't it?

Objection. MR. LAUER:

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Mr. Landesman then forwarded the conversation he had with Mr. Fuchs to Ms. Albanese for your attention, didn't he?
 - If we could read the email a little slow, I think it says over here --

```
THE COURT: Well, wait a minute.
1
              Are you offering this?
 2
                          We are offering this into evidence.
 3
              MR. GLUCK:
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
              MR. LAUER:
 5
                          No objection to the document.
6
              THE COURT: Received.
 7
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 523 received in evidence)
          The way I'm reading it is Uri Landesman sent an email to
8
9
      Angela. Then it says Bernie Fuchs sent an email to Uri, and he
10
      wrote that he's available Monday or Thursday. At 10:57 a.m.,
      Uri Landesman wrote to Bernie Fuchs, sure. Listen to the
11
      1 o'clock call today, which I would think would mean the
12
13
      investor call that Platinum had with their investors. He's
14
      asking Mr. Fuchs if you are able, would like your feedback.
15
      Please tell me what you thought about the investors call. And
      then he told him, also, he's trying to set up a partners dinner
16
17
      for next week, meaning are you going to be back next week from
      where you're going. And then the next thing he wrote was, have
18
      China Horizon board tomorrow, Bernie is saying, we'll try to
19
20
     meet before 12:00. If I can't, let's talk China marketing on
21
     Monday. He's telling Uri Landesman we'll talk about marketing
22
      China Horizon on Monday and see you tomorrow.
23
               THE COURT: Well, as is often common with emails,
24
      isn't this actually in reverse chronological sequence?
25
      you look at the bottom, it says on August 6th, 2014, at 10:40
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- a.m., Uri Landesman wrote. And then if you look right above that, there's a message from Mr. Fuchs on August 6th at 10:53 a.m. And then if you look right above that, there is a message from Mr. Landesman at 10:57 a.m. And if you look right above, there's a message for Mr. Fuchs at 11:06 a.m., et cetera, et cetera. Right? So the chronology is starting at the bottom, yes?

 THE WITNESS: It sounds to me like that. But I think I read the email correct
 - THE COURT: I'm not raising that one way or the other. I'm just saying, so the jury is clear, that the order of this is starting at the bottom going to the top.
- 13 BY MR. GLUCK:
- Q. So the bottom email from Bernard Fuchs is August 6th, 2014 at 10:53 a.m. Do you see that?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And Mr. Fuchs responds same day, 10:57 a.m.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then he responds again at 11:06 a.m. Do you see that?
- 20 MR. LAUER: Objection. It's just not the way it reads.
- 22 THE COURT: I agree. Sustained.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Excuse me, your Honor.
- 24 MR. GLUCK: Excuse me. Uri Landesman wrote at 10:57.
- 25 THE COURT: The witness needs to take a break.

THE WITNESS: Just for two minutes.

THE COURT: The jury can stay here because we can talk about the schedule while the witness is taking a break.

So, ladies and gentlemen, I was going to mention this at the end of the day. I am still hopeful that the testimony will be completed tomorrow, but because of a few delays we've had, it may go into Wednesday. Counsel and I totally agree that's the worst case, it will be over by Wednesday, and we'll try to do better than that, but I can't guarantee it. Then, worst case, Thursday morning, you'll have closing arguments from counsel and my instructions of law. So the case will be yours starting, worst case, after lunch on Thursday. I'm hoping that we can do better than that, but I wanted to give you the worst case scenario.

Then, of course, once the jurors -- it's up to you, you can take five minutes, you can take five days, I wouldn't recommend taking 50 days, but that's up to you.

So, I just wanted to give you that schedule.

Tomorrow, we will sit a full day from 9:30 to 4:30, and we are going today until 4:30. So, hopefully, the witness will be here.

I will mention one other thing, just so you're aware of it, I think it's already obvious that this case centers on whether or not the Platinum assets were overvalued and whether Mr. Bodner knew that and whether he participated in concealing

that or whatever. It has nothing to do, in terms of any claim, 1 2 with the liquidity problem. The liquidity problem was -I3 assume you understand by now - they didn't have enough money to pay the immediate demands for money that they were getting, and 4 5 that was a serious problem, but there's no claim in this case 6 that that is part of any fraud or any allegation of misconduct. 7 The misconduct, if there was any, centers totally on the question of overvaluation. 8 9 I'm running out of things to talk about. 10 MR. LAUER: Your Honor, while we're waiting for the 11 witness, may we approach the bench for a moment? 12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Bodner - C	Cross
------------	-------

1	(At the sidebar)
2	MR. LAUER: Your Honor, until this morning's ruling on
3	coconspirator, the evidentiary issue of coconspirator exception
4	didn't impart any substantive issue. Now that you've made this
5	ruling, which we accept, that coconspirator is, in effect, a
6	merits-related claim. I would respectfully ask the Court,
7	obviously the Court is free to sustain objections or overrule
8	objections, but to say coconspirator exception, now that we're
9	on the frontier of this new phenomenon is somewhat prejudicial.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So I'll use another term.
11	MR. LAUER: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Maybe we'll call it the controversial
13	exception. We'll figure out something. That's fair enough.
14	MR. LAUER: I appreciate it, Judge.
15	(Continued on next page)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (In open court)

- 2 BY MR. GLUCK:
- Q. Returning to this e-mail, Mr. Bodner, Uri Landesman then
- 4 sends an e-mail at 10:57 a.m. back to Mr. Bernard Fuchs
- 5 stating, "Listen to 1:00 call today if you are able. Would
- 6 like your feedback, trying to set up a partners dinner for next

7 week."

8

Do you see that?

- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And then above that, at 11:06 a.m., Mr. Fuchs responds to
- 11 Mr. Landesman stating that he is only available on Monday or
- 12 | Thursday. Do you see that?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then Mr. Landesman, at 12:57 p.m., one hour later,
- 15 sends this e-mail for your attention. Right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you would be the one who would be attending the
- 18 partners dinner that would be set up the next week, right?
- 19 A. I would think so, yes.
- 20 \ Q. And numbers were something that was discussed at the
- 21 | partners dinner, wasn't it?
- 22 | A. Numbers, meaning liquidity numbers, what they needed, how
- 23 | much money they needed, that's what I would think.
- 24 | Q. There is nothing about liquidity in this e-mail chain, is
- 25 | there?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Bodner - Cross

- A. I don't know what numbers -- what he means by numbers. I know what we discussed at the meetings.
 - Q. Numbers, including PPVA's values of its assets, were routinely discussed at the partner meetings, weren't they?
 - A. No, they were not.

MR. LAUER: Objection.

A. The positions --

THE COURT: Whoa, whoa.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: So the objection is overruled, but the answer was, "No, they were not," period. Go on to something else.

13 BY MR. GLUCK:

- Q. Mr. Bodner, in addition to soliciting investors at Platinum, you also had input into Platinum hiring decisions, didn't you?
 - MR. LAUER: Objection.
- THE COURT: Yeah. The trouble with that question is the premise which he has already denied. But if you want to ask him whether he had any input into Platinum Management hiring decisions, I will allow it.
 - MR. GLUCK: Rephrase.
- 23 BY MR. GLUCK:
 - Q. Mr. Bodner, you had input into Platinum hiring decisions, didn't you?

- A. No, I didn't. I did ask Mark as a favor to hire a person
 who was in need of a job, but I had no authority whatsoever to
 hire anybody in Platinum, to fire anybody in Platinum, or to
 just -- there was a lawyer, an ex-lawyer, who was a friend of
 mine who was out of a job, and I asked Mark if it is possible
 to fit him here in the organization, and I asked him also for a
 job for a nephew of mine if he had.
 - Q. You interviewed Mr. Saks, right?
- 9 A. I did not interview Mr. Saks. Mr. Saks was somebody that
- 10 Mr. Nordlicht grew up together. They were childhood friends.
- 11 And Mr. Saks is someone that Mark Nordlicht wanted to take over
- 12 | the fund. He introduced me to him.
- 13 | O. You interviewed Mr. Landesman.
- 14 A. I did not interview Mr. Landesman. Mark Nordlicht
- 15 | introduced him to me being an owner of Platinum Management. He
- 16 showed me Mr. Landesman and he asked my opinion what I think
- 17 | about him. I did not hire Mr. Landesman.
- 18 | Q. Mr. Parson, PX 421, please. Excuse me. One more question.
 - Mr. Kimelman, you didn't want him to be fired, did
- 20 you?

19

8

- 21 A. I had no say in Mr. Kimelman being hired or fired.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Parson, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 421, please.
- 23 This is already in evidence, so I will just ask you,
- 24 when Mr. Nordlicht is referring to shtupping in people he
- 25 didn't want from you, who are you referring to there?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Bodner - Cross

- A. He was referring to somebody who my son trained with. His name is Bobby Orbach, and in his eyes these were the *rachmones* cases, pity cases that I pushed in, that he should plea hire. These people needed jobs, and I asked Mr. Nordlicht if he could put him somewhere in the organization and assist him, to help him to do something. And that's Bobby, Itchy's partner. Itchy is my son. Rafi has —
- Q. Sir, Itchy's partner, you are saying they didn't hire Itchy, they hired your son's partner?
- A. My son's partner was a gentleman who was about -- today about 70 years old. He was training my son. Again, I spoke about my son having a condition, an OCD condition. Bobby Orbach was helping him out with this condition.

Bobby Orbach was unemployed, and he wanted to have a position somewhere. So I asked Mark as a favor if it's possible to hire him, maybe he can be useful somewhere in the organization. That's what it means, "shtupping in people I don't want." Mark Nordlicht had no need for him, but he felt that I was pushing him on him because I asked him a favor.

- O. And Rafi?
- A. Rafi has nothing to do with me. Rafi is Murray Huberfeld's nephew.
- 23 | O. And Ari Hirt?
- 24 A. Ari Hirt is like a stepson -- son to Bernard Fuchs.
- 25 Q. Um-hmm.

1	Well, you also had
2	THE COURT: So just so I'm clear, although this is in
3	evidence, Mr. Bodner, this was an e-mail from Mr. Nordlicht,
4	not to you, but to Gilad Kalter who was?
5	THE WITNESS: Mr. Nordlicht's brother-in-law.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Did you see this at the time?
7	THE WITNESS: No.
8	THE COURT: So you didn't have a chance to respond to
9	it, correct?
10	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
11	THE COURT: And so he is saying, I can't hire a guy
12	sight unseen, meaning that the hiring decision is his, yes?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And then he says "this is the consequence
15	of David Bodner and others <i>shtupping</i> in people I don't want."
16	Do you see that?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Shtupping means pushing.
19	THE WITNESS: It means pushing. I worked on his
20	feelings, that this guy is a rachmones, he is a pity, he
21	THE COURT: So meaning recommending perhaps strongly,
22	yes?
23	THE WITNESS: Recommending that if he could do me a
24	favor and please hire this person.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

- 1 Go ahead, counsel.
- 2 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, you can bring that down but 3 please bring up PX 555.
 - Q. Do you recall I asked you about Mr. Danny Saks?
- 5 | A. Yes.

4

- 6 | O. What was his role at Platinum?
- 7 A. His role at Platinum was -- I think Mark Nordlicht was
- 8 | trying to train him in to take over part or all of Mark
- 9 Nordlicht's position as the managing partner, one who managed
- 10 | Platinum PPVA. I think that's what his -- he was supposed to
- 11 | be. I'm not sure. I think he did work for Platinum for a few
- 12 months, and then I think it didn't work out.
- 13 | O. So senior at Platinum?
- 14 A. I think he was a senior person, yes.
- 15 Q. And now he is asking you to meet with him so Danny Saks can
- 16 join Beechwood instead. Right?
- 17 | A. I'm not understanding. Danny Saks sent an e-mail to Bodner
- 18 and Huberfeld. That was a joint e-mail for myself and
- 19 Mr. Huberfeld.
- 20 | Q. She set up yet another -- the e-mail address is
- 21 | BodnerAng@gmail.com?
- 22 | A. Ange Huberfeld. I don't know. Is it one e-mail, two
- 23 | e-mails? I don't know what this is.
- 24 | Q. The e-mail address is BodnerAng@gmail.com.
- 25 People sometimes save e-mail addresses in their phone

Mcc2Pla5

- 1 || in different ways, don't they?
- 2 A. It could be, yes.
- 3 | Q. And you are David, right?
- 4 A. I am David, yes.
- 5 | Q. And that's your phone number, right?
- 6 A. Which is my telephone number?
- 7 Q. Is that your telephone number, 212?
- 8 A. That was the number in the office.
- 9 Q. And David Bodner's e-mail responds at the top, right?
- 10 A. It looks like Angie was sending me an e-mail.
- 11 | Q. Regarding meeting Danny Saks for Beechwood, right?
- 12 A. It could be.
- Q. You know that Ari Hirt was the -- one of the portfolio
- 14 managers on Golden Gate Oil, right?
- 15 A. No, at the time I did not know that.
- 16 | Q. All right. Let's call up PX 987 under the special
- 17 exception. Oh. Sorry. Move this into evidence. This is
- 18 PX 555.
- 19 MR. LAUER: We object. No connection to this witness.
- 20 | THE COURT: Yes. Well, on this one I'm going to need
- 21 | to hear some more from counsel, but fortunately we are ready to
- 22 | take -- or to give the jury their mid-afternoon break, so we
- 23 | will give you a 15-minute break at this time while I take this
- 24 matter up with counsel.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

So this is unlike any other e-mail I think we have seen in this case, and I'm not quite sure what to make of it. It starts at the bottom, again, the chronology starts at the bottom, on November 21, 2014, at 1:23 p.m., Danny Saks to "BodnerAngHuberfeld," an e-mail address following that that's been associated previously with Mr. Bodner, but I'm not quite sure what to make of BodnerAngHuberfeld.

That e-mail says, "I spoke to David about meeting some potential people for Beechwood. How is his schedule on Tuesday?" and then there is a response from BodnerAngHuberfeld to it says David Bodner's e-mail, again, without any e-mail address, at 7:07 p.m., on November 21, and it says, "Not sure what your planning." I note for the record that "you're" is spelled here "your" where it should be "you're," but I will overlook that.

But continuing, it says, "But I told him so far you are available and if can send me the time. Kind regards, Platinum Partners."

So one way, I suppose, of looking at this is there was an e-mail from Mr. Saks to Mr. Bodner's secretary or assistant, but I'm just guessing at that, and I'm not sure how the jury can make head or tails of this. But let me hear from counsel if he wants to say anything further about this.

Mcc2Pla5

25

Bodner - Cross

MR. GLUCK: Sure. I think this is explainable in a 1 2 very simple way. Danny Saks saved as a shorthand name on his 3 BlackBerry or iPhone BodnerAngHuberfeld as a reference for 4 BodnerAng@gmail.com. That's something people do. There is a 5 lot of shorthand. You see how it says --6 THE COURT: So what does the "Ang" in that mean? 7 MR. GLUCK: That's just how he saved it in his phone. 8 E-mails typically have the saved name in the beginning, 9 followed by the actual name at the end. For example, at the 10 top it says David Bodner's e-mail. I will submit to you that 11 that's RODB, his other e-mail address, and this foundation was 12 actually laid by Ms. Albanese. 13 THE COURT: So why is -- if it's Mr. Bodner who is 14 BodnerAngHuberfeld, then he wouldn't be sending an e-mail to 15 himself. MR. GLUCK: No. That would be Ms. Albanese sending on 16 17 his behalf, which she testified about. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So your interpretation is, which I think is plausible, that Mr. Saks sends an e-mail to 19 20 Mr. Bodner's secretary, and she then sends an e-mail to 21 Mr. Bodner, and the e-mail from her begins with: Not sure what 22 you are planning. 23 So what's the relevance? 24 MR. GLUCK: That Mr. Bodner is going to be e-mailing

Danny Saks -- interviewing Danny Saks for a Beechwood position.

1484

1 THE COURT: No, no. So you are saying that Saks 2 e-mail is, "I spoke to David about meeting some potential 3 people for Beechwood." That would be inadmissible hearsay 4 unless it is in furtherance of the alleged conspiracy, and it's 5 hard to see how it is in furtherance of the conspiracy. And 6 then the response, not from Mr. Bodner, but from his secretary, 7 is "not sure what you are planning." 8 So it is very hard for me to see how, even assuming, 9 which is not self-evident but I think may be plausible, that 10 this is an interchange between Mr. Saks and Mr. Bodner's 11 secretary, I'm not sure why his statement is in furtherance of 12 the conspiracy and I'm not sure why her statement shows, even 13 if it wasn't in furtherance of the conspiracy, why there is any 14 confirmation about what Mr. Bodner allegedly knew or heard or 15 saw or whatever. It is not that it's impossible, but I think it requires a great deal of speculation on the part of the 16 17 jury, given their total unfamiliarity. What you just told me, by the way, which makes perfect sense, that some people 18 19 sometimes shorthand how they record an e-mail address is not 20 before the jury. They have never heard that before. 21 MR. GLUCK: The e-mail address point, I believe that 22 Ms. Albanese testified exactly that that's what --23 THE COURT: She testified to BodnerAngHuberfeld? 24 MR. GLUCK: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I forgot that.

1	MR. GLUCK: That is the foundation.
2	THE COURT: What did she say about it?
3	MR. GLUCK: She said that she established this e-mail
4	address inter alia to keep Mr. Bodner's e-mail off the Platinum
5	server.
6	MR. LAUER: There is nothing about keeping things off
7	the server.
8	MR. GLUCK: Ms. Shen, who is nodding her head, is the
9	one who took the examination, and I also have the recollection.
10	I'm sure we can look it up in the transcript.
11	THE COURT: I had forgotten that. Given that, I will
12	receive this.
13	Yes. I'm sorry, Mr. Lauer. Did you want to say
14	something?
15	MR. LAUER: Not on that.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. LAUER: Before the jury comes in.
18	THE COURT: Go ahead. Something else?
19	MR. LAUER: Does your Honor have a view as to how long
20	you will permit closing arguments?
21	THE COURT: Yes, I do have a view, but let me start
22	out by asking—and my practice is plaintiff first, defense
23	second—so how long does plaintiff want?
24	MR. GLUCK: I am signaling to my co-counsel, and we

1	THE COURT: Okay. And how about defense counsel?
2	MR. LAUER: I think if he is going to take two hours,
3	I will need two hours.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So coincidentally that's the
5	maximum I would allow.
6	MR. LAUER: I'm glad I asked.
7	THE COURT: It's a total meeting of the minds. That
8	will never happen again.
9	So what that means is that the entirety assuming it
10	is Thursday morning, the entirety of Thursday morning will be
11	the summations, and then I will give my instructions very early
12	on Thursday afternoon, right after lunch, and then the jury
13	will have the will start their deliberations. Okay. Let's
14	take five more minutes.
15	THE WITNESS: Excuse me. Was I asked a question on
16	this e-mail?
17	THE COURT: I don't know whether you were or not, but
18	is there something you wanted to say about this e-mail?
19	THE WITNESS: Definitely.
20	THE COURT: So when we come back, when the jury can
21	hear what you have to say, if no one else puts the question to
22	you, I will put a question, a very deep and profound question
23	like: Is there something you wanted to say about this e-mail?
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	(Recess)

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 2 May I get the jury? 3 THE COURT: Please. 4 (Jury present) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Jury entering the courtroom. 5 6 THE COURT: Please be seated. 7 Ladies and gentlemen, after hearing from counsel, I do receive Exhibit 555, so that can now be shown to the jury. 8 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 555 received in evidence) 10 THE COURT: Mr. Bodner, was there something you wanted 11 to say about this exhibit. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think when there was a 13 fallout -- not fallout, when Danny Saks and Mark Nordlicht 14 didn't make an agreement that Danny should stay at Platinum 15 after a few months, I spoke to Danny Saks that maybe I would speak to Mark for you, who was the boss of Beechwood, that 16 17 maybe I could speak to him about maybe he would want a job 18 there, and could be that's why Danny Saks is writing to me that if I have time to meet with him to discuss calling Mark Feuer 19 20 to interview him for a job. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 Counsel. 23 MR. GLUCK: Thank you. 24 BY MR. GLUCK: 25 Mr. Landesman was brought in to help generate institutional

Mcc2Pla5

Bodner - Cross

- 1 | investors for Platinum, right?
 - A. That and other jobs.
- 3 | Q. And did he have discretion in terms of how he went about
- 4 his job?

2

- 5 A. I can't tell you. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Well, would he take any instructions from you?
- 7 A. From me, definitely not.
- 8 Q. Definitely not?
- 9 A. Definitely.
- 10 | Q. Well --
- 11 A. He might take advice from me if he asked me something, but
- 12 | instructions, I was not his boss.
- 13 Q. Mr. Parson, could you please pull up PX 436, which will be
- 14 one of those special exception e-mails.
- 15 (Pause)
- 16 THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel.
- MR. GLUCK: We would seek to move it in evidence.
- MR. LAUER: We would object. We don't think the
- 19 exception applies to these people.
- 20 MR. GLUCK: Concerns the very undisclosed control at
- 21 | issue.
- MR. LAUER: We object to that.
- 23 THE COURT: That's not the issue. The issue is
- 24 | hearsay. And the defense counsel is saying that it doesn't
- 25 | fall within the exception to hearsay that you are asserting,

ıı Mcc2Pla5

1	and I think that's correct. So at the end of the day if you
2	want to make further argument about it, we can discuss it then,
3	but for now it is not received.
4	BY MR. GLUCK:
5	Q. So you never had the ability to instruct Mr. Landesman to
6	make investments?
7	A. To make what?
8	Q. To make investor calls.
9	A. I never had the ability to instruct him.
10	MR. GLUCK: So, your Honor, my response to the hearsay
11	exception is the special exception which we are calling the
12	special exception, and therefore this is directly in
13	furtherance of the secret of control that's directly the
14	subject of the special item.
15	THE COURT: All right. I will tell you what. I hate
16	to do it, but let's have a sidebar and get this done.
17	(Continued on next page)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Bodner - Cross

1 (At the sidebar)

THE COURT: So the initial message is from Gilad

Kalter who has not been, I think, identified as a coconspirator

at any time, to Mr. Landesman saying are you -- I'm sorry.

Forgive me. There is an earlier message. The first message at

the very bottom, on October 29, 2014, at 1:55, it is from

Mr. Landesman and he writes, "For educational purposes, I have

made all of the pre-new class calls I'm likely to make, unless

the powers that be decide otherwise." Okay.

Then the response is from -- is Gilad Kalter a Mr. or Ms.?

MR. HERTZBERG: Mr.

THE COURT: From Gilad Kalter saying, "Are you making more calls?" And Mr. Landesman responds, as I just indicated, "No, unless Duvid asked me to, which I don't think he is going to do. As far as I know, which is not very far, we are going forward with a new PPVA class and will broadly disclose it on next week's conference call. We can discuss that in pre-call meeting."

So I don't see how this is in furtherance of the conspiracy. Because Mr. Landesman is saying that he is not going to make any more calls unless Duvid asked me to, which I don't think he is going to do. So what's being advanced? I don't see how the conspiracy is being advanced. It sounds like it's an e-mail about why Mr. Landesman is not going to do

anything more in the way of making calls.

MR. GLUCK: So the response --

THE COURT: Please.

MR. GLUCK: The response would be the making of calls themselves is in furtherance of the conspiracy, it's 2014, post-Black Elk. He is making calls about the Black Elk and state of mind. Mr. Landesman believes that his job is done. So unless Mr. Bodner, who is literally the subject of the secret conspiracy, tells him to, he is done. Now it's his state of mind that if Bodner was to tell him to, he would have to continue. That's what we are saying.

THE COURT: Well, I think -- well, let me hear from -- so the claim is Mr. Landesman, who is an alleged member of the conspiracy, shows by the language he uses that he believes that Bodner has the power to ask him to make more of these investor calls.

MR. LAUER: I think there is a very dangerous conflation between asking someone to do something and having power to instruct. So there is an ambiguity right there.

Second of all, with all respect for the law of conspiracy, not everything that innocent people like Gilad Kalter are engaged in is in furtherance of a conspiracy. The conspiracy, as I understood it, was to conceal fraudulent overinflation of valuations. The conspiracy is not all the innocent people trying to run a business. And I think with

Mcc2Pla5

Bodner - Cross

respect to this particular document, it really falls short and --THE COURT: So what you are saying is that while arguably if this were otherwise admissible for its truth, it might be impeachment of Mr. Bodner because it shows that Mr. Landesman believed that Mr. Bodner had this power. It's not -- nothing about this is in furtherance of the conspiracy to overvalue the assets, etc., and so the probative value of this at best is extremely modest compared to the potential for misinterpretation. I think that's right, so I will exclude it. (Continued on next page)

- 1 (In open court)
- 2 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 3 Q. Mr. Bodner, did Mr. Landesman refer to you and
- 4 Mr. Huberfeld as the powers that be?
- 5 | A. No.
- Q. Did anyone at Beechwood refer to you and Mr. Huberfeld as
- 7 | the powers that be?
- 8 A. Absolutely not.
- 9 Q. Um-hmm.
- 10 Mr. Nordlicht discussed with you and you with
- 11 Mr. Nordlicht buying back the excess Black Elk debts with the
- 12 excess proceeds of the Renaissance sale in selling it?
- 13 MR. LAUER: Objection. Testifying.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, a little bit, but I think you could
- 15 rephrase it and ask a proper question along those lines.
- 16 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 17 | Q. Mr. Nordlicht discussed the details of the bond buyback
- 18 plan with you and you with him, correct?
- 19 A. Never happened.
- 20 | Q. And he specifically discussed with you and you with him
- 21 using the excess to buy back the Black Elk bonds.
- 22 MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 A. Never happened.
- 25 | Q. On November 24 or thereabouts you had a conversation with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

Mr. Scott Taylor of Beechwood regarding the Black Elk bond buyback after the consent solicitation, and he had an idea that would get what you want and what he wanted at the same time.

MR. LAUER: Objection. This is more testifying.

THE COURT: Well, he has a right to confront the witness with some specific allegations, but what I think it needs to be broken into two phases. So the first question should be, "On November 24 or thereabouts, did you have a conversation with Mr. Scott Taylor of Beechwood regarding the Black Elk bond buyback?"

THE WITNESS: I do not remember having any discussion whatsoever with Scott Taylor about Black Elk bonds.

THE COURT: And then you can, just so we know where we are going, at that point if you want to confront him with the specific allegation, then you can.

- BY MR. GLUCK:
 - Q. Would you like your memory refreshed or would you like to see the specific statement?
- 19 | A. 100 percent.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's -- four exhibits here, let's start with PX 484.
 - A. Is there anything --
 - THE COURT: Let me caution the witness, don't refer to anything in this exhibit, which is not in evidence. The only question before you is, having looked at this, does that

Ш	
	refresh your recollection as to anything about a discussion
	that you had, if you did, with Mr. Nordlicht regarding a
	buyback of some Black Elk debt? So, in other words, if your
	memory is still the same that you did not have that
	conversation.
	THE WITNESS: I don't remember having any
	conversation.
	THE COURT: All right.
	THE WITNESS: And Dovid could be Dovid Steinberg.
	THE COURT: So that's the answer. Yeah, that's the
	answer.
	THE WITNESS: I don't remember having any conversation
	with Mark Nordlicht about Black Elk bond.
	THE COURT: The court reporter cannot pick up two
	people.
	THE WITNESS: I do not remember having any discussion
	with Mark Nordlicht about Black Elk bonds. Dovid
	THE COURT: Okay. You have answered the question.
	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	BY MR. GLUCK:
	Q. Now we will do the same thing with Exhibit 396, and I will
	ask you if this exhibit refreshes your recollection that
	Mr. Scott Taylor presented an idea that would get what you want
	and what he wanted simultaneously regarding the buyback.

THE COURT: Is that in evidence?

1 MR. GLUCK: It is not. But this was --2 THE COURT: So I want to caution the jury, nothing 3 that any counsel says in a question is ever in evidence. So if 4 counsel says, "Take a look at this document in which it says 5 that you murdered your mother in cold blood, " it doesn't mean 6 that the document says that he murdered his mother in cold 7 In fact, it is not evidence in any way, shape, or form because it is not in evidence. So just so do not assume that 8 9 when counsel says to a witness does this document here refresh 10 your recollection that X, Y, Z, that X, Y, Z is what the 11 document says or let alone that it's true. It may be true. 12 may be what the document says. It may not be true. It may not 13 be what the document says. But you won't know because it is 14 not in evidence, and you can only deal with what's in evidence. 15 Is this on the screen to the jury? MR. GLUCK: Because this was is in evidence. 16 17 THE COURT: I thought you just said --18 MR. GLUCK: A great instruction for the next exhibit. 19 This particular exhibit, which was called up 396, is in 20 evidence. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. GLUCK: The next one shows --23 THE COURT: Silly me relying on counsel. 24 Okav. Was there some question you wanted to put to 25 this witness about this document?

- 1 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 2 Q. Mr. Bodner, you knew that PPVA was going to buyback the
- 3 | Black Elk bonds.
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. You knew that those bonds were rendered impaired after the
- 6 Renaissance sale.
- 7 A. No, I did not.
- 8 Q. Scott Taylor came up with an idea that would get both what
- 9 you wanted and what he wanted at the same time, didn't he?
- 10 A. I do not know what was in Scott Taylor's mind when he wrote
- 11 | this e-mail, and I do not have any recollection whatsoever of a
- 12 Renaissance sale or Black Elk bonds. I was not involved in any
- 13 of that and I do not know what this is talking about.
- 14 | Q. You communicated your wants concerning the Black Elk bond
- 15 | buyback to Mr. Taylor, didn't you?
- 16 MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. GLUCK:
- 19 Q. The next one, PX 486, I will ask you if this refreshes your
- 20 recollection as to whether there are those at Beechwood who
- 21 also called you and Mr. Huberfeld the powers that be.
- 22 A. Where am I the powers --
- 23 | THE COURT: You are not.
- 24 The only question is, having looked at this, does that
- 25 | refresh your recollection regarding anything you were just

4

5

1 | asked about? Yes or no.

THE WITNESS: No, absolutely not.

THE COURT: Okay. That's the answer.

BY MR. GLUCK:

- Q. Mr. Bodner, you participated in the formation and the
- 6 capitalization of Beechwood, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Did you capitalize Beechwood with Platinum Limited
- 9 | Partnership interests?
- 10 A. Part of the capital that went into Beechwood was White
- 11 Star, which was a partnership between my wife and Murray
- 12 | Huberfeld's wife of \$20 million was put up as collateral. That
- 13 was part of the collateral that was put up.
- Besides that, my children owned shares in a public
- 15 | company that had a value of about, if I'm not mistaken, around
- 16 | \$10, 12 million, and that was also put up as collateral.
- 17 | Q. So the capitalization put up by yourself and Mr. Huberfeld
- 18 were the Platinum Limited Partnership you were awarded and your
- 19 | children's interest named Crius?
- 20 | A. Crius is my children's interest in -- that was the public
- 21 | company; mine and Mr. Huberfeld's shares, which I think had a
- 22 | market value of between 20 and \$25 million if I'm not mistaken.
- 23 | Q. So the capitalization consisted of your and Mr. Huberfeld's
- 24 | Platinum LP interest awarded as incentive fees and the Crius
- 25 | interests, right?

interests?

7

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. No, Platinum that we put up was not incentive fees. It was our investment when we started Platinum, White Star invested.
- 3 That was part of the seed money that we put up, and that was
- 4 put up. It was not incentive fees that was given to us.
- Q. You are suggesting that the White Star interests were something other than Platinum Partners Value Arbitrage Fund LP
- A. You just said incentive fees if I'm not mistaken. Maybe I heard wrong. I'm sorry.
 - Q. You were paid incentive fees in the form of PPVA LP interest over the years in addition to cash, correct?
- 12 A. I'm sorry. I'm not following what you are saying.
- Q. You were paid incentive fees in the form of LP interests, right?
- 15 A. I was paid incentive fees as being an owner of Platinum
 16 Management.
 - Q. And some of those incentive fees came in cash and some of them came in the form of LP interests in PPVA, right?
 - A. I don't think so. I think at the end when there is no cash to pay it, when Mark stopped the withdrawals in 2014, that's -- I could just tell you what I remember, that's when I think it became not cash, it became, you know, accrued interest, then it
- became an LP in the fund. But before that it was something
 that we were able to take out every year.
- 25 Q. That's the best of your recollection?

Mcc2Pla5

25

Bodner - Cross

That's the best of my recollection, yes. 1 2 Mr. Propper was also a founding member of Beechwood, 3 correct? 4 Α. I don't know. 5 Q. Do you recall meeting with Mr. Propper, Mr. Huberfeld, and 6 Mr. Levy regarding the formation of Beechwood? 7 A. No, I recall meeting Mr. Propper, as I testified, about becoming, the takeover management of Platinum from Mark 8 9 Nordlicht. 10 Ο. In 2013? 11 I don't remember the date, but that's what I meant. Q. Let's call up PX 444. And this is definitely under that 12 13 special exception. 14 MR. LAUER: Is this in evidence? 15 MR. GLUCK: Not yet. 16 THE WITNESS: I did not go to this meeting. 17 (Continued on next page) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	BY MR. GLUCK:
2	Q. I'm not sure if that's relevant.
3	MR. GLUCK: We move this into evidence.
4	THE COURT: Yes, I was waiting for you
5	MR. GLUCK: We move this into evidence.
6	MR. LAUER: We object. 401. It's also 801.
7	THE COURT: Overruled. Received.
8	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 444 received in evidence)
9	Q. Did you attend a meeting with Mr. Kerry Propper and
10	Mr. Huberfeld regarding what became Beechwood in August of
11	MR. LAUER: Objection to the testifying.
12	THE COURT: So, Mr. Nordlicht, at 8:48 a.m. on
13	October 8th, 2013, writes to Mr. Levy, can you schedule meeting
14	between Kerry and his guy and M and D.
15	Did you have an understanding of what he meant by
16	M and D?
17	THE WITNESS: Is that the question to me?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: What?
21	THE WITNESS: That would be Murray and David.
22	THE COURT: In other words, Murray and you?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	THE COURT: To hear this deal. \$200 million line. I
25	don't want anyone to have taynas. What does that mean?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Bodner - Cross

THE WITNESS: It's a Jewish word that nobody should have come back later and say -- Eliot, how you say?

MR. LAUER: Complaints.

THE WITNESS: Nobody should have complaints about it.

THE COURT: Got it.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I never attended this meeting. It looks like I was invited to it. As I testified earlier, the only thing I knew about Beechwood was what Murray Huberfeld told me about the business. I had no idea about Kerry Propper. I have no idea if he was a partner or what this meeting was about. Murray Huberfeld sold me on Beechwood, it was a reinsurer, and anything the reinsurer makes above 5 percent is our profit and it's a great business, and Mark Feuer and Scott Taylor are running it, and they have sterling reputations. That was my --

Go ahead. Put another question, counsel.

BY MR. GLUCK:

Yes or no, was Mr. Proper granted an interest in Beechwood?

That's fine.

- I don't know. 19 Α.
- 20 You don't know? 0.
- 21 No, I don't. Α.
- 22 You stated that Mr. Feuer was the boss of Beechwood; is
- that fair? 23
- 24 Yes, and Mr. Scott Taylor, that was my knowledge.
- 25 You would routinely meet with Mr. Feuer?

MCCCpla6

Bodner - Cross

1 No, I met with Mr. Feuer very unoften. I went to Beechwood 2 very unoften. 3 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 418, 4 please. 5 Move this into evidence. 6 MR. LAUER: Can we see what it is. 7 It is a calendar invite. MR. GLUCK: MR. LAUER: Is this the entire document? 8 9 MR. GLUCK: This is what all calendar invites look 10 like. 11 MR. LAUER: We object. No idea what it is. 12 MR. GLUCK: May I lay some foundation? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 BY MR. GLUCK: 15 Q. You agreed to meet Mr. Feuer on a weekly basis, which 16 meetings commenced with this calendar invite, which was 17 accepted; right? 18 A. Totally false. I never went to Beechwood on a weekly 19 basis. I never went to Beechwood on a monthly basis. I was 20 very, very unoften at Beechwood headquarters. I did not meet 21 with Mr. Feuer often. Once in a while, I met him. He once in 22 a while came up to Platinum, but I never had weekly meetings 23 with Mr. Feuer. I don't know. Maybe this is --24 THE COURT: You've answered the question.

I'd like to be very clear in your testimony. You never had

7

8

9

10

- 1 | meetings with Mr. Feuer?
- 2 A. I did not say that.
- 3 MR. LAUER: Objection.
 - Q. How many meetings with Mr. Feuer did you have?
- 5 A. Very few. Definitely not weekly and not monthly.
- 6 Q. You never met with Mr. Kerry Propper about Beechwood?
 - A. No, I never met Kerry Propper about Platinum, as I testified.
 - MR. GLUCK: Take that in reverse order. Could you bring up 259, please.
- 11 Let's bring up PX 384. This is in evidence.
- Q. Now, your testimony is that at this time, Mr. Taylor was
 explaining what reinsurance was to you as opposed to taking you
 through the limits of what can be invested in Platinum debt in
- 15 his office?
- MR. LAUER: Objection to the form.
- 17 | Q. Do you recall --
- THE COURT: Rephrase.
- Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that your understanding of this meeting was that Mr. Taylor was explaining what
- 21 reinsurance was to you?
- 22 MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 23 A. No, I did not testify to that. I testified that my son was
- 24 out there doing deals and he was looking for investors, and he
- 25 asked me to find out what the limits are on Beechwood, what

- Beechwood could invest in. So Scott Taylor took me through 1 CNO's limits, what they're allowed to invest in and what 2 3 they're not allowed to invest in. As I testified earlier, 4 Murray Huberfeld is the one who explained me what a reinsurer 5 is and how we make money, not Scott Taylor. Scott Taylor took 6 me through the limits of what you're allowed to invest in and 7 what you're not. 8 Before we come off this, you knew that Beechwood was going 9 to be acquiring bad paper from Platinum, didn't you? 10 A. No, I did not know that. 11 MR. GLUCK: PX 1235, please. 12 Seek to admit this into evidence. 13 MR. LAUER: We object. There's a top and there's a 14 bottom. 15 MR. GLUCK: It's on both. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 Take it down, please. MR. GLUCK: 18 Q. You knew that the PPVA operating assets were incapable of 19 making their own interest payments to Beechwood, and therefore 20 PPVA had to pay them; right? 21 MR. LAUER: Form. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 Excuse me, what's the question?

24

25

You knew that the PPVA operating companies were not capable 0. of making their own interest payments to Beechwood, so PPVA had

- 1 | to pay them instead; right?
- 2 A. I don't recall. I don't recall knowing that, no.
- 3 | Q. You don't recall?
- 4 A. I don't -- I wasn't involved to know who paid the interest payments.
 - Q. You and Murray Huberfeld used to speak all the time; right?
- 7 A. Murray Huberfeld and me spoke all the time, but he didn't
- 8 | speak about the payments. He didn't even speak to me about
- 9 COBA or his notes with Jonah Rechnitz. He didn't speak to me
- 10 about a lot of things. We were friends. We spoke about a lot
- 11 of things besides business and anything that he felt was
- 12 | important in business, in our private deals, we spoke together.
- When he moved to Beechwood, we rarely spoke about Beechwood's
- 14 | business.

6

- 15 MR. GLUCK: Mr. Parson, please call PX 459.
- We seek to move into evidence.
- 17 MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 18 MR. GLUCK: It's in already.
- 19 MR. LAUER: Could be in. It is in.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. So go ahead.
- 21 | Q. This is an email of Mr. Huberfeld forwarding you the very
- 22 | interest payments we were just talking about, isn't it?
- 23 A. To me, this looks like interest payments that were paid
- 24 | from these companies to Beechwood. And if it was forwarded to
- 25 me, I'm not sure. Could be many reasons why they send it to

- 1 | Angie.
- 2 Q. Why you would send this to Angela?
- 3 A. Yes, Angela was his secretary. I have no idea -- it
- 4 doesn't say that he told Angie to print it out and read it to
- 5 | me or give it to me. I don't know. I can't answer for
- 6 Mr. Huberfeld why he sent it.
- 7 Q. You knew that PPVA was making these payments to Beechwood;
- 8 | right?
- 9 A. I testified that I did not know that PPVA was making
- 10 payments to Beechwood.
- 11 | Q. And you knew that these entities, Golden Gate Oil, others,
- 12 | they couldn't make their own interest payments; right?
- MR. LAUER: Objection.
- 14 A. No.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 Q. Did you have a phone number at Beechwood?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Because you went there so rarely?
- 19 A. I never had a phone number at my office at Beechwood. I
- 20 | have nothing at Beechwood.
- MR. GLUCK: PX 501, please, Mr. Parson.
- We seek to move it into evidence.
- MR. LAUER: We have no objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Received.
- 25 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 501 received in evidence)

Bodner - Cross

- 1 | Q. You did have a telephone number at Beechwood, didn't you?
- 2 A. Not that I know of. I don't know if David B. direct is me
- 3 and this is the first time I'm seeing this phone number. I did
- 4 have a phone number at Platinum.
- 5 | Q. And there was a direction that unless it was a trusted
- 6 caller, calls for you and Mr. Huberfeld would need to be
- 7 | transferred to Alexis Northwood?
- 8 | A. Alexis Northwood was Murray's secretary at Beechwood. I
- 9 don't remember anything about me having a number there, I
- 10 | didn't have an office at Beechwood. I very, very rarely went
- 11 | up to Beechwood. You could count on your hand how many times I
- 12 | went to Beechwood. My office was at Platinum. I had a
- 13 secretary called Angela Albanese. She took my calls. I have
- 14 | no idea what this is about, if the David B. is me. I know
- 15 | Alexis Northwood was Murray's secretary.
- 16 | Q. And Ms. Albanese forwarded this to you to your personal
- 17 | email so that you would know that this directive had been
- 18 | implemented?
- 19 A. I don't know anything about this directive.
- 20 | Q. You got the email; right?
- 21 A. It looks like she sent me this email, but I have no idea
- 22 | what this is about. Why would somebody call me at Beechwood if
- 23 | I was sitting at Platinum?
- 24 | Q. Because you didn't want anybody to know that you were
- 25 working at Beechwood.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Bodner - Cross

1 MR. LAUER: Objection.

> That was not a question. THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. GLUCK: One more and then I think we'll try a

chart, I'll ask for a sidebar.

PX 380, please, which is already in evidence.

- Ms. Albanese, did she have an office at Beechwood? 0.
- Α. No.
- Q. She only had an office in Platinum?
- Α. Yes.
- She testified that she sent this email to you in order to 10 11 scare you; right?
- MR. GLUCK: Excuse me. Withdrawn. 12
- 13 Q. Are you aware that she sent you this email in order to 14 scare you?
- 15 I'll tell you the truth, I saw this email the first time
- when my lawyers showed it to me and they asked me what this is 16
- 17 about. I couldn't figure it out, but then I remember that
- 18 Angie was threatening because we didn't give her enough of a
- 19 severance pay. So she threatened that she's going to do
- 20 something. And, for some reason, this email, I couldn't figure
- 21 out what this is all about. David Bodner, to David Bodner,
- 22 from -- I didn't understand the whole email until I told them I
- 23 think this is what Angie sent when she wanted a bigger raise
- 24 and a salary. What it's about, I have no clue what she wanted
- 25 here.

- Q. This was part of her attempt to get a better severance; right?
- A. This was part of her attempt. She spoke to me, that the severance is not enough. I don't know why she sent this email to Harvey Wreblowsky, it says. I think I tried to send it to Harvey Wreblowsky at the end. I'm not sure. I'm just not sure about this. I definitely did not write this email and I had
 - Q. She wrote this email; right?

nothing to do with this email.

- A. She wrote the email without any permission from me. I did not ask her to write this email. And I can't answer for Angie Albanese what her intentions were exactly.
 - Q. But DavidBodner18@gmail.com, that's one of her email addresses?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

She got into it and used it without my permission. I did not write this email and I have no idea what was running through her mind when she wrote the email. And I don't know what the email's about. I don't know who Ed Bonach is. I don't know who she's sending this to. It says from me to me. I'm not sure what she wanted here.

- Q. You saw it, though; right?
- 23 A. I saw it when the case started, my lawyers showed it to me.
- 24 | Q. And you might have tried to forward this to Harvey
- 25 Wreblowsky and messed it up and then you typed in

Bodner - Cross

- 1 H. Wreblowsky; right?
- 2 A. I don't remember. I might have. I don't remember.
- Why would it mess up if I did type it in? Why
- 4 | wouldn't it go to Harvey Wreblowsky?
- Q. And then you did send it to Mr. Wreblowsky's in-house
- 6 counsel; right?
- 7 A. I don't know. I can't figure out this whole email from the
- 8 beginning to the end because everything is David Bodner.
- 9 Q. Without getting into all the details, why would
- 10 Ms. Albanese be threatening you with this Beechwood revelation
- 11 | to try to get greater severance at Platinum?
- 12 A. I don't think she was threatening me. We had a discussion
- and I had to speak to Mark Nordlicht, because he was the boss,
- 14 and I spoke to Mark Nordlicht that she wants more money. Mark
- 15 Nordlicht didn't want to give her more money. And then, all of
- 16 you a sudden, I never saw this email till the case started and
- 17 | my lawyers showed it to me. And I told my lawyer, I have
- 18 nothing to do with this email, I have no idea what this is
- 19 | about.
- 20 | O. No idea?
- 21 | A. I had no idea at the time what this email was about.
- 22 | Q. She was threatening you to get higher severance; right?
- 23 A. That's what -- are you telling me that?
- MR. GLUCK: Okay. So let's go to PX 425.
- 25 | Q. It's your phone number, right, the top of the page?

7

8

Bodner - Cross

- 1 A. No, that's not my phone number.
- 2 | Q. Sorry. That's her phone number; right?
- 3 A. I have no idea. My phone number is a 646 number.
 - Q. She send you this text message?
- 5 A. I don't remember getting it. It sounds like --
- THE COURT: You've answered the question.
 - Q. You produced this to PPVA as part of discovery; right?
 - A. I produced it to PPVA?
- 9 Q. Right. So this was sent to you; right?
- 10 A. I don't know. I have no idea if this was sent to me.
- 11 Where does it say it was sent to me?
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. The chances that this will be
- 13 received in evidence is approximately zero. So you might want
- 14 | to move on.
- MR. GLUCK: So why don't we try then, if I may
- 16 approach sidebar regarding particular summaries.
- 17 THE COURT: So you know what, this really hurts,
- 18 | because I'm going to give you your time to leave eight minutes
- 19 | early, oh, my god. I could see juror No. 3 in particular is
- 20 devastated. But, we do have a full day tomorrow. So we'll see
- 21 | you at 9:30 tomorrow. Have a good evening.
- 22 (Continued on next page)
- 23
- 24
- 25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bodner - Cross

1 (Jury not present) THE COURT: First, with respect to exhibit 425, all I 2 3 see is a -- it says from 516-458-6739. I don't remember any testimony linking that to Mr. Bodner. 4 MR. GLUCK: It was a text message produced by 5 6 Mr. Bodner from his cellphone. 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 8 MR. GLUCK: This was a text message produced by 9 Mr. Bodner from his cellphone. 10 THE COURT: So I will repeat my question. I don't remember any evidence in the record about that. If there is, 11 12 please remind me when it came up. 13 MR. GLUCK: This is the evidence and it's the Bates 14 stamp at the bottom of the email, Bodner00039 --

THE COURT: No. The fact that it was produced by Mr. Bodner doesn't mean it's admissible against Mr. Bodner. People can produce in discovery all sorts of stuff because, typically, discovery requests are quite broad and they have a choice, they can either run up legal fees by fighting about it or they can produce it. I'm talking about the evidence before the jury in this case right now shows no basis for the assertion you just made. If you want to find something in the record that I've forgotten about, I'm happy to reconsider.

MR. GLUCK: Except the Court's position, we would need to lay foundation for this particular email, but given the

Court's statement that even if there were such foundation that
the email would not be admitted, which is principally to
impeach the witness's credibility that he didn't know anything
about the threatening email that we had just looked at
THE COURT: This exhibit may well be admissible if it
comes from Mr. Bodner.
MR. GLUCK: What I would need to do, I can show the
Court two sets of depositions, one from Mr. Bodner and one from
Ms. Albanese. Ms. Albanese was questioned about this
particular text message at length.
THE COURT: If there's a deposition from Mr. Bodner in
which he says this is my email, then, of course, you can
confront him in front of the jury with that.
MR. GLUCK: There's a deposition from his
THE COURT: And I might very well admit it. So that's
fine. I just can't admit it on the current state of the
record.
MR. GLUCK: I think the accurate thing to say
THE COURT: So you have your guidance for tomorrow.
Second, Mr. Bodner reminded me that he has to be out
tomorrow morning. From when to when?
THE WITNESS: From 8 o'clock in the morning I would
get here between probably 11:00, 11:30, depending on traffic.
THE COURT: So we have Mr. Quintero. So just have him

ready to go at 9:30 and we'll take him and then we'll go back

Bodner - Cross

to Mr. Bodner when he arrives. 1 2 You're going for a bris? 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, grandchild. THE COURT: I will not burden the record with the 4 5 50 million jokes that can be made about bris. Very good. 6 Anything else we need to take up today? 7 MR. GLUCK: There is one, your Honor. While this testimony is fresh in the head, we have about four summary 8 9 exhibits concerning, one, Bodner meetings with only Platinum 10 employees between 2010 and 2016, 100 such meetings. We have a second summary exhibit, 68 meetings with Platinum PPVA 11 investors between 2010 and 2016. Then we have 106 meetings 12 13 regarding Platinum asset companies, potential companies, 2010 14 to 2016. 15 THE COURT: These are based on what? MR. GLUCK: These are based on a combination of 16 calendar invites accepted, just like we saw, emails from 17 18 Ms. Albanese showing the schedule and the sort. So we have CTRL numbers next to each and every meeting --19 20 THE COURT: So, normally, I think you would be calling 21 a witness to put these in, but if you're not, I somehow suspect 22 that Mr. Bodner is not in the position to affirm --23 MR. GLUCK: We suspect the same thing. Now, this 24 arose actually during the Albanese testimony, which was 25 unfortunately cut off due to her illness. She testified that

Bodner - Cross

she made Mr. Bodner's calendar, set Mr. Bodner's calendar, accepted his outlook --

THE COURT: Here's what I would say, I think we need to have a discussion about those exhibits, their bases and their admissibility, and the good time to do it would be early tomorrow because Mr. Bodner won't be here, so his testimony won't be affected by it. So congratulations. Let's get together at 9:10 tomorrow morning and we'll go over those.

Mr. Lauer.

MR. LAUER: I'm just getting a little concerned about the timing. We tried to be as efficient as possible with Mr. Bodner. We all accept the Court's advice that, come Wednesday afternoon, evidence ends. We have a number of substantive witnesses and --

THE COURT: I'm going to ask plaintiffs' counsel to give me, first thing tomorrow at 9:10 before we get into our discussion of exhibits, what will now be a binding representation of how much more he'll be on cross.

MR. GLUCK: Very good.

THE COURT: Anything else we need to discuss? Okay. You're excused. We'll see you tomorrow.

THE WITNESS: These meetings that I had, we went over them, he showed the whole list today and I explained that a lot was charity meetings and met with employees of Platinum, a few of them about different deals. One was a lawyer who helped me

Bodner - Cross

out with the deals that I was doing --THE COURT: So that is for your -- I don't know whether I'll admit these exhibits or not, but what you're telling me is that the meetings took place, but they had to do with other things. That's something that your counsel can bring out on redirect, but it's not by itself a reason not to admit these exhibits. THE WITNESS: I just wanted to --THE COURT: Thank you for clearing that with me. Very good. We'll see you all tomorrow. (Adjourned to December 13, 2022 at 9:10 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	DAVID BODNER
4	Direct By Mr. Lauer
5	Cross By Mr. Gluck
6	PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS
7	Exhibit No. Received
8	514
9	516
10	954
11	423
12	426
13	482
14	523
15	555
16	444
17	501
18	JOINT EXHIBITS
19	Exhibit No. Received
20	74 1392
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
ļ	